

Subvarieties with q -ample normal bundle and q -ample subvarieties

Mihai Halic

ABSTRACT

The goal of this article is twofold. On one hand, we study the subvarieties of projective varieties which possess partially ample normal bundle; we prove that they are G2 in the ambient space. This generalizes results of Hartshorne and Bădescu-Schneider.

On the other hand, we define the concept of a partially ample subvariety, which generalizes the notion of an ample subvariety introduced by Ottem. We prove that partially ample subvarieties enjoy the stronger G3 property. Moreover, we present an application to a connectedness problem posed by Fulton-Hansen and Hartshorne.

Introduction

While searching for an appropriate concept of amplitude for higher codimensional subvarieties, Hartshorne investigated the geometric and cohomological properties of the pairs (X, Y) consisting of a projective scheme X and a local complete intersection subscheme Y with ample normal bundle. On one hand, $Y \subset X$ is G2 that is, the formal completion \hat{X}_Y determines an étale neighbourhood of Y ; furthermore, subvarieties of projective spaces are actually G3 (cf. [28, 29, 31]). On the other hand, the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves on the complement $X \setminus Y$ are finite dimensional and vanish, above appropriate degrees.

The assumption about the ampleness of the normal bundle can be weakened. It suffices to require either a Hermitian metric with partially positive curvature (cf. [23, 14]) or partial ampleness in the sense of Sommese (cf. [32, 5]). A comprehensive reference to the algebraic approach to the problem is Bădescu's book [4].

Ample subvarieties of projective varieties were defined in [40] by Ottem, based on Totaro's work [44] on cohomological ampleness. Ample subvarieties have ample normal bundle, are G3 in the ambient space, and the cohomological dimension of their complement is the smallest possible (the co-dimension minus one).

In a different framework [25], the author introduced the weaker notion of q -ample subvarieties, building on Ottem's work. We emphasize that, in what follows, our aim is not only to define partial amplitude and to extend [40] to this setting. Indeed, those properties of ample subvarieties which straightforwardly generalize are just *briefly* recalled. As the contents shows, for pairs (X, Y) as above, the *goal* of this article is *twofold*.

- In Part I, we prove the G2 property for Y when its normal bundle is partially ample, hence generalizing a classical result of Hartshorne [28]. Our approach is direct. Indeed, at a key point, the proof in *loc. cit.* is based on dimensional reduction, thus requiring the ampleness

of the normal bundle; the same reduction was used in [5], for subvarieties with globally generated, partially ample normal bundle.

Our work yields a short proof—and indeed a strengthening to lci subvarieties—of the formality principle for pairs (X, Y) with Y smooth, due to Griffiths, Commichau-Grauert, Chen (cf. [23, 14, 12]).

- In Part II, and based on Part I, we deduce the G3 property for partially ample subvarieties and give a number of examples. Furthermore, we discuss a long-standing conjecture—false in general—due to Fulton-Hansen [20], concerning the connectedness of pre-images under morphisms. The precise statements are given below in this introduction.

Let us detail the results. The q -amplitude of $Y \subset X$ consists of two conditions:

- a global one, which is an upper bound on the cohomological dimension of $X \setminus Y$;
- a local one, the q -ampleness of the normal bundle.

The cohomological dimension of the complement of a subvariety is difficult to estimate in general. The issue has been intensively investigated (cf. [29, 39, 37, 18, 26]).

Concerning the second condition, Hartshorne initiated the study of subvarieties with *ample* normal bundle (cf. [28, 29]). In [5], Bădescu-Schneider proved the G2 property for subvarieties with *globally generated, partially ample* normal bundle (in the sense of Sommese [42]); the global generation is used to reduce the problem to [28]. They deduce that generating subvarieties of rational homogeneous and abelian varieties are G3. Faltings [18] proved that the G3 property holds for low codimensional subvarieties of rational homogeneous varieties and estimated the cohomological dimension of their complement.

To our knowledge, the properties of subvarieties with q -ample normal bundle have not been investigated yet. We emphasize that the partial ampleness we are referring to is the cohomological one, introduced by Arapura-Totaro [2, 44]. It is less restrictive than the partial ampleness of Sommese [42]; being also a numerical condition, makes it more flexible. Moreover, there are numerous examples of subvarieties with partially ample, but neither ample nor globally generated, normal bundle. The ubiquity of these objects is, in our opinion, a strong motivation to systematically study their properties. Let us highlight the main results obtained in this article.

Theorem *Let X be a non-singular irreducible projective variety defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and let Y be a local complete intersection subvariety of X . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *(cf. 2.1, 3.4) If Y is connected and its normal bundle is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample, then Y is G2 and the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves on $X \setminus Y$ are finite dimensional, above a certain degree. In particular, generic subvarieties of uniruled varieties are G2.*
- (ii) *(cf. 7.1) If Y is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample, then it is G3. In particular, it holds:*
 - (a) *Sufficiently general, movable subvarieties of rationally connected varieties are G3 (cf. 7.3).*
 - (b) *Movable subvarieties of algebraically simply connected, minimal Mori dream spaces are G3 (cf. 7.4).*
 - (c) *Let X be almost homogeneous for the action of a linear algebraic group G , with open orbit O . Suppose $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus O) \geq 2$ and the stabilizer of a point in O contains a Cartan subgroup of G . Then the diagonal of $X \times X$ is G3 (cf. 9.5).*

We also show the G3 property for the strongly (very) movable subvarieties introduced by Voisin

(cf. 7.9). Furthermore, we obtain several results concerning the behaviour under natural operations, *e.g.* intersections, products, pre-images.

Next, we discuss a conjecture of Fulton-Hansen [20] and Hartshorne [29], concerning the connectedness of the intersection of two subvarieties (of an ambient space X) with ample normal bundle. The conjecture and various versions hold for X a projective space, a flag variety, a homogeneous space, or products of such (cf. [20, 27, 18, 16, 6]). In the author's opinion, the lack of literature on the Fulton-Hansen conjecture, in its generality, might be explained by the absence of appropriate techniques. The approach in here applies to arbitrary ambient varieties. We impose partial ampleness to one subvariety—not only to its normal bundle—and we analyse how the property is preserved by intersections. Our contribution is the following:

Theorem (cf. 8.2) *Let X be a projective variety, Y a lci subvariety, and $V \xrightarrow{f} X$ a morphism, with V projective, irreducible. Let $q := \dim f(V) - \text{codim}_X Y > 0$ and suppose $Y \subset X$ is q -ample. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If the Stein factorization $\bar{V} = \text{Spec}(f_*\mathcal{O}_V)$ of f is a Cohen-Macaulay variety (*e.g.* V is C.-M. and f is an embedding), then $f^{-1}(Y)$ is non-empty and connected.*
- (ii) *If $f(V)$ is smooth, $Y \cap f(V)$ is lci in $f(V)$, then $f^{-1}(Y) \subset V$ is G3.*

A substantial part is dedicated to illustrate the general theory with examples. It is pleasing that partially ample subvarieties naturally occur in a number of situations:

- (i) almost homogeneous, more generally, rationally connected varieties (cf. §9.1);
- (ii) zero loci of sections in globally generated vector bundles (cf. §9.2);
- (iii) Bialynicki-Birula decompositions, corresponding to G_m -actions (cf. §9.3).

The article consists of two parts. In the first one, we follow [28] to study subvarieties with partially ample normal bundle; they are G2 in the ambient space. We apply the theory to the uniruled varieties and generalize existing results which typically hold for complete intersections or for subvarieties of homogeneous spaces (cf. 4.5, 4.3).

In the second part, we define and study partially ample subvarieties. One of their essential features is that of being G3; the proof depends on the G2-result obtained in the first part. We deduce the G3 property for movable subvarieties of rationally connected varieties. We conclude the article with explicit computations in several cases: for zero loci of sections in vector bundles and sources of actions of the multiplicative group.

Contents

1 Preliminary notions	4
2 Finite dimensionality results	7
3 The G2 property	8
4 Examples of subvarieties with partially ample normal bundle	12
5 Definition and equivalent characterizations	14
6 Weak positivity	19
7 The G3 property	21
8 A connectedness problem	25
9 Examples of q-ample subvarieties	27
References	34

1. Preliminary notions

Notation 1.1 We work over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} of characteristic zero. Throughout the article, \mathfrak{X} stands for a connected, noetherian formal scheme, regular and projective over \mathbb{k} ; X stands for an irreducible projective variety over \mathbb{k} .

We denote by Y either a subscheme of definition of \mathfrak{X} —by assumption, it is projective—, or a closed subscheme of X . Let $\dim Y$ be the maximal dimension of its irreducible components; if $Y \subset X$, let $\text{codim}(Y) := \dim X - \dim Y$. We assume that all the components of Y are at least 1-dimensional, so $\dim Y \geq 1$.

Furthermore, let $\mathcal{J}_Y \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ (resp. $\subset \mathcal{O}_X$) be the sheaf of ideals defining Y . For $a \geq 0$, Y_a is the subscheme defined by the \mathcal{J}_Y^{a+1} . The formal completion of X along Y is defined as $\hat{X}_Y := \varinjlim Y_a$. If X is non-singular in a neighbourhood of Y , then \hat{X}_Y is regular and projective (cf. [30, Section II.9]).

In the case where Y is a locally complete intersection—*lci* for short—in \mathfrak{X} , we denote its normal sheaf by $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_Y := (\mathcal{J}_Y/\mathcal{J}_Y^2)^\vee$; it is locally free of rank ν on Y . The structure sheaves of the various thickenings Y_a fit into the exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^a(\mathcal{N}^\vee) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_a} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_{a-1}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall a \geq 1. \quad (1.1)$$

For a coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} , we denote $h^t(\mathcal{G}) := \dim_{\mathbb{k}} H^t(\mathcal{G})$; for a field extension $K \hookrightarrow K'$, $\text{trdeg}_K K'$ is the transcendence degree; $\text{ct}^{A,B,\dots}$ stands for a real constant depending on the quantities A, B, \dots . A *line (resp. vector) bundle* is an *invertible (resp. locally free) sheaf*.

Suppose Y is connected; let $K(\hat{X}_Y)$ be the field of formal rational functions on X along Y . We recall the following terminology due to Hironaka-Matsumura (cf. [31]):

- Y is G1 in X , if $K(\hat{X}_Y) = \mathbb{k}$;
- Y is G2 in X , if $K(X) \hookrightarrow K(\hat{X}_Y)$ is finite;
- Y is G3 in X , if $K(X) \hookrightarrow K(\hat{X}_Y)$ is an isomorphism.

1.1 Cohomological q -ampleness

This notion was introduced by Arapura and Totaro.

Definition 1.2 Let Y be a projective scheme.

(i) (cf. [44, Theorem 7.1]) An invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on Y is called *q -ample* if, for any coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on X , holds:

$$\exists \text{ct}^{\mathcal{G}} \quad \forall a \geq \text{ct}^{\mathcal{G}} \quad \forall t > q, \quad H^t(Y, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^a) = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

It's enough to verify this property for $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{A}^{-k}$, $k \geq 1$, where $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Pic}(Y)$ is a fixed, but otherwise arbitrary, ample line bundle (cf. [44, Theorem 6.3]).

(ii) (cf. [2, Lemma 2.1, 2.3]) A locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} on Y is *q -ample* if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}(1)$ on $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee) := \text{Proj}(\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}^\bullet \mathcal{E})$ is q -ample. This is equivalent saying that, for any coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on Y , there is $\text{ct}^{\mathcal{G}} > 0$ such that

$$H^t(Y, \mathcal{G} \otimes \text{Sym}^a(\mathcal{E})) = 0, \quad \forall t > q, \quad \forall a \geq \text{ct}^{\mathcal{G}}. \quad (1.3)$$

The *q -amplitude* of \mathcal{E} , denoted $q^{\mathcal{E}}$, is the smallest integer q which satisfies this property. We remark that \mathcal{E} is q -ample if and only if $\mathcal{E}_{Y_{\text{red}}}$ is q -ample (cf. [44, Corollary 7.2]).

The q -amplitude enjoys *uniformity* and *sub-additivity* properties, which we recall.

Theorem 1.3 (i) (cf. [44, Theorem 6.4, 7.1]) *Let Y be a projective scheme, $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(Y)$. We assume that \mathcal{A} is sufficiently ample—Koszul-ample, cf. [44, pp. 733]—, and \mathcal{L} is q -ample. Then there are constants $\text{ct}_1^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}}, \text{ct}_2^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}} > 0$, such that for any coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on Y holds:*

$$H^t(Y, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^a) = 0, \quad \forall t > q, \forall a \geq \text{ct}_1^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}} \cdot \text{reg}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{G}) + \text{ct}_2^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}}.$$

Here $\text{reg}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{G})$ stands for the regularity of \mathcal{G} with respect to \mathcal{A} .

(ii) (cf. [44, Theorem 3.4]) *If $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y) = \mathbb{k}$ then, for any locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} and coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on Y , holds: $\text{reg}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{G}) \leq \text{reg}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{E}) + \text{reg}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{G})$.*

Theorem 1.4 (cf. [2, Theorem 3.1]) *Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of locally free sheaves on the projective scheme Y . Then it holds: $q^{\mathcal{E}} \leq q^{\mathcal{E}_1} + q^{\mathcal{E}_2}$.*

For products one has a better estimate.

Lemma 1.5 *Let X_1, X_2 be irreducible projective varieties and $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ be locally free sheaves on them which are $q^{\mathcal{E}_1}$ -, $q^{\mathcal{E}_2}$ -ample, respectively. Let $\mathcal{E}_1 \boxplus \mathcal{E}_2$ be the direct sum of their pull-backs to $X_1 \times X_2$. Then we have: $q^{\mathcal{E}_1 \boxplus \mathcal{E}_2} \leq \max\{q^{\mathcal{E}_1} + \dim X_2, q^{\mathcal{E}_2} + \dim X_1\}$.*

Proof. In (1.3), it suffices to take \mathcal{G} of the form $(\mathcal{A}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_2)^{-1}$, with $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ ample line bundles on X_1, X_2 , respectively. (The symbol \boxtimes stands for the tensor product of the pull-backs.) For $t > \max\{q^{\mathcal{E}_1} + \dim X_2, q^{\mathcal{E}_2} + \dim X_1\}$, it holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & H^t(X_1 \times X_2, (\mathcal{A}_1^{-1} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_2^{-1}) \otimes \text{Sym}^a(\mathcal{E}_1 \boxplus \mathcal{E}_2)) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\substack{t_1+t_2=t, \\ a_1+a_2=a}} H^{t_1}(X_1, \mathcal{A}_1^{-1} \otimes \text{Sym}^{a_1}(\mathcal{E}_1)) \otimes H^{t_2}(X_2, \mathcal{A}_2^{-1} \otimes \text{Sym}^{a_2}(\mathcal{E}_2)) = 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

1.2 $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample vector bundles on Y

Subvarieties $Y \subset X$ with $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample normal bundle play an essential role in this article. Here we give a numerical characterization of this property, analogous to Totaro's result for invertible sheaves.

Proposition 1.6 (cf. [44, Theorem 9.1]) *Let \mathcal{E} be a locally free sheaf on an irreducible projective variety Y . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{E} is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample.
- (ii) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ is not pseudo-effective, where $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) := \text{Proj}(\text{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{E}^\vee)$.
In this case, we say that \mathcal{E}^\vee is not pseudo-effective.
- (iii) There is a dominant morphism $\varphi : C_S \rightarrow Y$, with S affine and C_S an integral curve over S , such that the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (1) $\varphi^* \mathcal{E}$ admits a line sub-bundle \mathcal{M} which is relatively ample for $C_S \rightarrow S$;
 - (2) Let C_{S_y} be the curves passing through the general point $y \in Y$ and \mathcal{M}_{S_y} the restriction of \mathcal{M} to it. Then the lines $\mathcal{M}_{S_y, y} \subset \mathcal{E}_y$ are movable in $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_y)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ be an ample line bundle on Y . Denote by ω_Y the dualizing sheaf of Y (cf. [30, Prop. III.7.5]); it is torsion free of rank one and there is $c > 0$ such that:

$$\omega_Y \subset \mathcal{O}_Y(c), \quad \mathcal{O}_Y(-c) \subset \omega_Y \quad (\text{cf. [44, §9]}).$$

The $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ampleness means: $H^0(Y, \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes \text{Sym}^a \mathcal{E}^\vee) = 0, \forall \mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(Y), a > \text{ct}^{\mathcal{L}}$, which is equivalent to $H^0(Y, \mathcal{M} \otimes \text{Sym}^a \mathcal{E}^\vee) = 0, \forall \mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic}(Y), a > \text{ct}^{\mathcal{M}}$, and to:

$$H^0(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(a)) = 0, \forall \mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic}(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})), \forall a > \text{ct}^{\mathcal{M}}.$$

But the last condition is the $(\dim \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) - 1)$ -ampleness of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-1)$ and (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) follows.

The equivalence (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) is a direct consequence of the duality theorem between the pseudo-effective cone and the movable cone [8, Theorem 0.2]. \square

Note that the notion of a *pseudo-effective vector bundle* used in [8, §7] is more restrictive. It also requires that the projection of the non-nef locus of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ does not cover Y .

1.3 q -positivity

Proposition 1.7 (cf. [42, Proposition 1.7]). *For a globally generated, locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} on Y , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{E} is q -ample (cf. Definition 1.2);
- (ii) The fibres of the morphism $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \rightarrow |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}(1)|$ are at most q -dimensional.

We say that \mathcal{E} is Sommesse- q -ample if it satisfies any of these conditions.

Definition 1.8 (cf. [1, 17]) Suppose X is a smooth, complex projective variety. A line bundle \mathcal{L} on X is q -positive, if it admits a Hermitian metric whose curvature is positive definite on a subspace of $\mathcal{T}_{X,x}$ of dimension at least $\dim X - q$, for all $x \in X$; equivalently, the curvature has at each point $x \in X$ at most q negative or zero eigenvalues.

Theorem 1.9 (i)(cf. [38, Theorem 1.4]) *Assume \mathcal{E} is globally generated. Then it holds:*

$$\mathcal{E} \text{ is Sommesse-}q\text{-ample} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}^\vee)}(1) \text{ is } q\text{-positive.}$$

(ii)(cf. [7, 40]) *Let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ be q -positive and $Y \in |\mathcal{L}|$ a smooth divisor. Then it holds:*

$$H^t(X; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^t(Y; \mathbf{Z}) \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{an isomorphism, for } t \leq \dim X - q - 2; \\ \text{surjective, for } t = \dim X - q - 1. \end{cases}$$

Part I: Subvarieties with q -ample normal bundle

Hartshorne investigated in [28] the cohomological properties of subvarieties with ample normal bundle and of their complements. Here we generalize a number of his results—those in *op.cit.*, Sections 5, 6—to subvarieties with cohomologically q -ample normal bundle. The difficulty to overcome is that several statements, especially those needed to deduce the G2 property, are proved for *curves* with ample normal bundle; the general case is obtained by induction. For this reason, we must reprove the statements involving curves.

Bădescu and Schneider [5] generalized Hartshorne's results to subvarieties whose normal bundle is Sommesse- q -ample. They assume that the normal bundle is globally generated, because the proof is based on dimensional reduction. Hence their applications concern mainly subvarieties of homogeneous spaces and abelian varieties.

2. Finite dimensionality results

In this section we follow [28, Section 5]. Let the situation be as in 1.1.

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose Y is lci and \mathcal{N} is $q^{\mathcal{N}}$ -ample. Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on \mathfrak{X} whose restriction to Y is $q^{\mathcal{L}}$ -ample and \mathcal{F} a locally free sheaf on \mathfrak{X} , of finite rank. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) (cf. [28, Theorem 5.1, Corollary 5.4]) *For $t < \dim Y - q^{\mathcal{N}}$, $H^t(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{F})$ is finite dimensional. In particular, if $q^{\mathcal{N}} \leq \dim Y - 1$ and \mathfrak{X} is connected, then $H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}) = \mathbb{k}$.*
- (ii) (cf. [28, Corollary 5.3]) *$H^t(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-b}) = 0$, for $t < \dim Y - (q^{\mathcal{N}} + q^{\mathcal{L}})$, $b \gg 0$.*

Proof. (i) Since $H^t(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{F}) = \varprojlim H^t(Y_a, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_a})$, it is enough to prove that the sequence eventually becomes stationary. For $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$, the exact sequences (1.1) yield:

$$\dots \rightarrow H^t(Y, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^a(\mathcal{N}^\vee)) \rightarrow H^t(Y_a, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_a}) \rightarrow H^t(Y_{a-1}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_{a-1}}) \rightarrow \dots$$

The lci condition implies that Y is Gorenstein. The Serre duality and the $q^{\mathcal{N}}$ -ampleness of \mathcal{N} imply the vanishing of the leftmost term, for $a \gg 0$. For the second statement, observe that $H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ is an integral domain and also a finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebra.

(ii) Denote $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$, $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$; by (1.1), it is enough to show:

$$H^t(Y, \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^a(\mathcal{N}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^b) = 0, \quad \forall t > q^{\mathcal{N}} + q^{\mathcal{L}}, \forall a \geq 0, b \gg 0.$$

The sub-additivity property 1.4 implies that $\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{L}$ is $(q^{\mathcal{N}} + q^{\mathcal{L}})$ -ample. So the vanishing holds for $\omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{a+b}(\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{L})$, as soon as $a + b \geq \mathrm{ct}^{\mathcal{F}}$; in particular for $a \geq 0$, $b \geq \mathrm{ct}^{\mathcal{F}}$. But the latter contains $\omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^a(\mathcal{N}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^b$ as a direct summand. \square

Corollary 2.2 (cf. [28, Corollary 5.5]) *Let X be a non-singular projective scheme over \mathbb{k} and Y a closed lci subscheme whose normal bundle is $q^{\mathcal{N}}$ -ample; let \mathcal{L} be a $q^{\mathcal{L}}$ -ample, invertible sheaf on X . Then the following statements hold, for all coherent sheaves \mathcal{G} on $X \setminus Y$:*

- (i) *$H^t(X \setminus Y, \mathcal{G})$ is finite dimensional, $t \geq \dim X - \dim Y + q^{\mathcal{N}}$,*
- (ii) *$H^t(X \setminus Y, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^b) = 0$, $t \geq \dim X - \dim Y + q^{\mathcal{N}} + q^{\mathcal{L}}$, $b \gg 0$.*

Proof. Since X is non-singular, it is enough to consider $\mathcal{G} = \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{F}^\vee$, with \mathcal{F} locally free on X (cf. [29, Lemma III.3.2]). Let \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L} be the sheaves induced, respectively, by \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L} on \hat{X}_Y . The exact sequence in local cohomology for $Y \subset X$ and the formal duality (cf. [29, Theorem III.3.3]) yield:

- (i) $\Leftrightarrow H^{\dim X - t - 1}(\hat{X}_Y, \mathcal{F})$ is finite dimensional,
- (ii) $\Leftrightarrow H^{\dim X - t - 1}(\hat{X}_Y, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-b}) = 0$.

It remains to apply 2.1. \square

2.1 Cohomology of the complement

In [24, Exposé XIII, Conjecture 1.3], Grothendieck discusses the finite dimensionality of the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves on the complement of lci subvarieties in projective spaces. Hartshorne addressed the issue for smooth subvarieties (cf. [28, Corollary 5.7]).

Here we extend his result for rational homogeneous varieties, in the relative setting. Let S be an irreducible projective variety and E a principal G -bundle on S , where G is a connected linear algebraic group. Consider a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$; the quotient $X := E/P$ is projective and the natural map $X \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ is a locally trivial G/P -fibration. The co-ampleness (ca , for short) of homogeneous varieties has been explicitly computed by Goldstein (cf. [22]). By definition, $q^{\mathcal{T}_{G/P}} = \dim(G/P) - ca(G/P)$, hence the relative tangent bundle $\mathcal{T}_{X,\pi}$ is q -ample, for $q := \dim X - ca(G/P)$.

Corollary 2.3 *Let the situation be as above. Suppose $Y \subset X$ is a smooth family of subvarieties parametrized by S , of relative codimension δ , $\dim Y > \dim S$; that is, $d\pi_Y : \mathcal{T}_Y \rightarrow \pi_Y^* \mathcal{T}_S$ is surjective and $\text{codim}_X(Y) = \delta$. Then $H^t(X \setminus Y, \mathcal{G})$ is finite dimensional, for all coherent sheaves \mathcal{G} on $X \setminus Y$ and $t \geq \delta + \dim X - ca(G/P)$.*

Hartshorne's result corresponds to $S = \{\text{point}\}$, $G/P \cong \mathbf{P}^n$, $t \geq \delta$.

Proof. The exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{Y,\pi_Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_Y & \longrightarrow & \pi_Y^* \mathcal{T}_S \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{X,\pi} \upharpoonright_Y & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_X \upharpoonright_Y & \longrightarrow & \pi^* \mathcal{T}_S \upharpoonright_Y \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \mathcal{T}_{X,\pi} \upharpoonright_Y / \mathcal{T}_{Y,\pi_Y} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{N}_{Y/X} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

shows that $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{T}_{X,\pi} \upharpoonright_Y$, so it is q -ample. Now apply 2.2. \square

3. The G2 property

Here we generalize several results in [28, Section 6].

Lemma 3.1 (cf. [28, Lemma 6.1]) *Let $(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1))$ be a projective scheme. We consider an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} and locally free sheaves \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} on Y . Denote*

$$h_{\mathcal{F}}(a, b) := h^0(Y, \mathcal{F} \otimes \text{Sym}^a(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-b}).$$

The following properties are satisfied:

(i) *If \mathcal{L} is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample, then it holds:*

$$h_{\mathcal{F}}(a, b) = 0, \text{ for } b \geq \text{ct}_1^{\mathcal{O}_Y(1), \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E}} \cdot a + \text{ct}_2^{\mathcal{O}_Y(1), \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F}}. \quad (3.1)$$

(ii) *If \mathcal{E} is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample, then it holds:*

$$h_{\mathcal{F}}(a, b) = 0, \text{ for } a \geq \text{ct}_1^{\mathcal{O}_Y(1), \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L}} \cdot b + \text{ct}_2^{\mathcal{O}_Y(1), \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. We fix $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ sufficiently ample (cf. 1.3) and consider the regularity of the various sheaves with respect to it. Also, we assume that Y is irreducible, otherwise we prove the estimates on its components.

(i) Let ω_Y be the dualizing sheaf of Y . There is $c_0 = c_0(Y) \geq 1$ such that $\omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(c_0)$ is globally generated. A generic section induces an inclusion $\mathcal{O}_Y(-c_0) \subset \omega_Y$, so:

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(Y, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^a(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-b}) &\leq h^0(Y, \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{F}(c_0) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^a(\mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-b}) \\ &= h^{\dim Y}(Y, \mathcal{F}^\vee(-c_0) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^a(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^b). \end{aligned}$$

Claim The right hand-side vanishes for b as in (3.1).

Henceforth we replace \mathcal{F} by $\mathcal{F}(-c_0)$ and verify the statement for $h^{\dim Y}(\mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^a(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^b)$. In order to track the dependence of the constants on the various parameters, note that the effect of replacing $\mathcal{F} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{F}(-c_0)$ is $\mathrm{reg} \mathcal{F}^\vee \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{reg} \mathcal{F}^\vee - c_0$, where c_0 depends only on Y .

We observe that it is enough to prove the claim for Y reduced and for an arbitrary coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on Y instead of \mathcal{F}^\vee . Indeed, for $\mathcal{J} := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_{\mathrm{red}}})$, there is $r > 0$ such that $\mathcal{J}^r = 0$. Thus \mathcal{O}_Y admits a filtration (similar to (1.1)) by the quotients $\mathcal{J}^{k-1}/\mathcal{J}^k$, $1 \leq k \leq r$, which are $\mathcal{O}_{Y_{\mathrm{red}}}$ -modules, and we may use the estimates for $\mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes (\mathcal{J}^{k-1}/\mathcal{J}^k)$ on Y_{red} , which is coherent.

Since Y is irreducible and reduced, we have $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y) = \mathbb{k}$. The uniform q -ampleness property (cf. 1.3) implies that there are constants depending only on $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$, such that:

$$H^{\dim Y}(Y, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^a \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^b) = 0, \quad \forall b \geq \mathrm{ct}_1^{\mathcal{O}_Y(1), \mathcal{L}} \cdot \mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^a \mathcal{E}) + \mathrm{ct}_2^{\mathcal{O}_Y(1), \mathcal{L}}.$$

Since $\mathrm{Sym}^a \mathcal{E}$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{E}^{\otimes a}$, the sub-additivity of the regularity (cf. 1.3(ii)) yields $\mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^a \mathcal{E}) \leq a \cdot \mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{E}) + \mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{G})$, so (3.1) holds for:

$$b \geq \mathrm{ct}_1^{\mathcal{O}_Y(1), \mathcal{L}} \cdot (a \cdot \mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{E}) + \mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{G})) + \mathrm{ct}_2^{\mathcal{O}_Y(1), \mathcal{L}}.$$

The coefficient of a is indeed independent of \mathcal{G} .

(ii) Again, we prove the estimate for Y reduced and \mathcal{F}^\vee replaced by an arbitrary coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on Y . By the uniform $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ampleness, $h^{\dim Y}(\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^a(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^b)$ vanishes for

$$a \geq \mathrm{ct}_1^{\mathcal{O}_Y(1), \mathcal{E}} \cdot \mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^b) + \mathrm{ct}_2^{\mathcal{O}_Y(1), \mathcal{E}}.$$

It remains to apply 1.3(ii): $\mathrm{reg}(\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^b) \leq b \mathrm{reg} \mathcal{L} + \mathrm{reg} \mathcal{G}$. □

Proposition 3.2 (cf. [28, Theorem 6.2, Corollary 6.6]) *Let the situation be as in 1.1. We assume that Y is lci and its normal bundle is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample, of rank ν . For any locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} and invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on \mathfrak{X} , there is a polynomial of degree $\dim Y + \nu$ such that:*

$$h^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^b) \leq P_{\dim Y + \nu}^{Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F}}(b), \quad \text{for } b \gg 0.$$

Proof. Since Y is lci, ω_Y is invertible. We fix a sufficiently (Koszul) ample invertible sheaf \mathcal{A} on Y , such that $\mathcal{A}^{-1} \subset \omega_Y$. Let \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L} be the restrictions of \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L} to Y , respectively. With the notation of (3.2), for $\gamma := \mathrm{ct}_1^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L}} + 1$ and $b > \mathrm{ct}_2^{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}}$, we have the estimate:

$$h^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^b) \leq \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} h^0(Y, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^a(\mathcal{N}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^b) = \sum_{a=0}^{\gamma b} h^0(Y, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^a(\mathcal{N}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^b).$$

Since \mathcal{F} is a subsheaf of $(\mathcal{A}^{c_0})^{\oplus \mathrm{rk} \mathcal{F}}$, for $c_0 = \max\{1, \mathrm{reg} \mathcal{F}^\vee\}$, it is enough to prove the proposition for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}^{c_0}$.

Consider $S := \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}^\vee)}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}^\vee)})$ and denote by $\mathcal{O}_S(1)$ the relatively ample invertible sheaf on it. The right hand-side above can be re-written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rhs} &= \sum_{a=0}^{\gamma b} h^0(Y, \mathcal{A}^{c_0} \otimes \text{Sym}^a(\mathcal{N}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^b) \leq \sum_{a=0}^{\gamma b} h^0(Y, \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{A}^{c_0+1} \otimes \text{Sym}^a(\mathcal{N}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{L}^b) \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^{\gamma b} h^{\dim Y} (Y, \mathcal{A}^{-c_0-1} \otimes \text{Sym}^a(\mathcal{N}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-b}) \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^{\gamma b} h^{\dim Y} (\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}^\vee), \mathcal{A}^{-c_0-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}^\vee)}(a) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-b}) = h^{\dim Y} (S, \mathcal{A}^{-c_0-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(\gamma b) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-b}). \end{aligned}$$

But $h^{\dim Y} (S, \mathcal{O}_S(\gamma b) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-b})$ is bounded above by a polynomial in b , depending on $\mathcal{O}_S(\gamma) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$, of degree at most $\dim S = \dim Y + \nu$ (cf. [36, 1.2.33]). In order to include the term \mathcal{A}^{-c_0-1} , we use the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{-c_0-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_1} \rightarrow 0, \quad \dim Y_1 = \dim Y - 1,$$

which yields: $\text{rhs} \leq h^{\dim X} (\mathcal{O}_S(\gamma b) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-b}) + h^{\dim X-1} (\mathcal{O}_S(\gamma b) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-b} \upharpoonright_{Y_1})$.

Of course, Y_1 depends on c_0 , *a posteriori* on \mathcal{F} . However, both terms are bounded above by polynomials in b , of degree at most $\dim Y + \nu$ and $\dim Y + \nu - 1$, respectively. \square

Theorem 3.3 (cf. [28, Theorem 6.7]) *Let the situation be as in 1.1. We assume:*

- Y is connected, lci, $\dim Y \geq 1$;
- the normal bundle \mathcal{N} of Y is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample.

Then the following statements hold:

- (i) $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{k}} K(\mathfrak{X}) \leq \dim Y + \text{rk} \mathcal{N}$;
- (ii) *If $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{k}} K(\mathfrak{X}) = \dim Y + \text{rk} \mathcal{N}$, then $K(\mathfrak{X})$ is a finitely generated extension of \mathbb{k} .*

Proof. With our preparations, the proof is identical to *loc. cit.*. Let $\tau := \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{k}} K(\mathfrak{X})$ and choose a transcendence basis $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\tau \in K(\mathfrak{X})$. Since \mathfrak{X} is regular, there are invertible sheaves \mathcal{L}_i on \mathfrak{X} , $i = 1, \dots, \tau$, and sections s_{0i}, s_{1i} in \mathcal{L}_i such that $\xi_i = s_{1i}/s_{0i}$. Then $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}_\tau$ admits non-zero sections s_0, s_1, \dots, s_τ such that $\xi_i = s_i/s_0$.

The ring $R := \sum_{b \geq 0} H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{L}^b)$ is an integral domain, because Y is connected, and one has the injective ring homomorphism:

$$R \rightarrow K(\mathfrak{X})[\xi], \quad \sigma_b \mapsto \frac{\sigma_b}{s_0^b} \cdot \xi^b, \quad \text{for } \sigma_b \in H^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{L}^b).$$

By Proposition 3.2, there is a polynomial $P = P_{\dim Y + \nu}^{Y, \mathcal{L}}$ such that:

$$h^0(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{L}^b) \leq P(b), \quad \text{for } b \gg 0.$$

Then [28, Lemma 6.3] implies: $\text{trdeg} K(R) \leq \dim Y + \nu + 1$. But $\mathbb{k}[s_0, s_1, \dots, s_\tau] \subset R$, so $\tau + 1 \leq \text{trdeg} K(R)$, hence $\tau \leq \dim Y + \nu$.

Now assume that $\tau = \dim Y + \nu$. Then, by *idem*, Lemma 6.3, 6.4, we have:

- The extension $\mathbb{k}(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_\tau) \hookrightarrow K(R)$ is finite.

- R is integrally closed in $K(\mathfrak{X})[\xi]$ and the extension $K(R) \hookrightarrow K(\mathfrak{X})(\xi)$ is algebraic, so $K(R) = K(\mathfrak{X})(\xi)$.

We conclude that $\mathbb{k}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\tau) \hookrightarrow K(\mathfrak{X})$ is a finite extension. □

Corollary 3.4 (cf. [28, Corollary 6.8]) *Let X be a projective scheme which is non-singular in a neighbourhood of a closed, connected, lci subscheme $Y \subset X$. We assume that the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample. Then $K(\hat{X}_Y)$ is a finite extension of $K(X)$, in other words Y is G2 in X .*

Proof. Indeed, $K(X)$ is a subfield of $K(\hat{X}_Y)$, so $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{k}} K(\hat{X}_Y) \geq \dim X = \dim Y + \nu$. Hence we are in the case (ii) of the previous theorem. □

The result is optimal, in the sense that one can not conclude that Y is G3 in X (cf. [29, Example pp. 199], [4, Example 9.1]). In Section 7, we shall see several conditions which ensure the G3 property.

3.1 A formality criterion

Our discussion yields a short proof of a result obtained in [12]. One says that the *formal principle* holds for a pair (X, Y) consisting of a scheme X and a closed subscheme Y if the following condition is satisfied: for any other pair (Z, Y) such that $\hat{Z}_Y \cong \hat{X}_Y$, extending the identity of Y , there is an isomorphism between étale neighbourhoods of Y in X and in Z which induces the identity on Y .

Theorem 3.5 (cf. [12, Theorem 3]) *Let X be a non-singular projective variety and $Y \subset X$ be a closed, connected, lci subscheme. Assume that $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample. Then the formal principle holds for the pair (X, Y) .*

Note that this strengthens *loc. cit.*, since Y is assumed only lci, rather than smooth.

Proof. Corollary 3.4 implies that Y is G2 in X . But, in this case, Gieseker proved (cf. [21, Theorem 4.2], [4, Corollary 9.20, 10.6]) that the formal principle applies to (X, Y) . □

Griffiths and Commichau-Grauert obtained similar results in complex analytic setting. On one hand, Griffiths investigates in [23] the formality/rigidity property described above, for smooth subvarieties $Y \subset X$ whose normal bundle is partially positive/negative. In [*idem*, VI. §5, pp. 425], he considers subvarieties whose normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ admits a Hermitian metric whose curvature has signature (s, t) , with $s + t = \dim Y$, and proves in [*ibid.*, II. §2, 3] the rigidity of the embedding $Y \subset X$, as soon as $s \geq 2$.

The concept of partial positivity/negativity of the normal bundle is inspired from Andreotti-Grauert [1], who studied arbitrary vector bundles which admit Hermitian metrics whose curvature has mixed signature. Their essential cohomological property is the following: if \mathcal{N} admits a Hermitian metric of signature (s, t) , then \mathcal{N} is $(\dim Y - s)$ -ample (cf. [1, Proposition 28, pp. 257], [23, (7.28), pp. 432]).

On the other hand, Commichau-Grauert proved in [14, Satz 4] a formality/rigidity result for subvarieties with 1-positive normal bundle. It turns out that a 1-positive vector bundle on a smooth projective variety Y is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample (cf. [14, Satz 2]).

We conclude that the cohomological approach adopted in this article yields under weaker assumptions the rigidity results obtained in [23, 14].

4. Examples of subvarieties with partially ample normal bundle

4.1 Elementary operations

Corollary 4.1 *Let X be a non-singular projective variety. The following statements hold:*

- (i) *Let $Y_2 \subset Y_1 \subset X$ be connected lci, $\dim Y_2 \geq 1$. Suppose $\mathcal{N}_{Y_2/Y_1}, \mathcal{N}_{Y_1/X}$ are respectively q_2 -, q_1 -ample, $q_1 + q_2 < \dim Y_2$. Then Y_2 is G2 in X .
In particular, $Y_2 \subset X$ is G2, if $\mathcal{N}_{Y_1/X}$ is ample and $\mathcal{N}_{Y_2/Y_1}^\vee$ is not pseudo-effective.*
- (ii) *Suppose Y_1, Y_2 are lci in X , $\text{codim}(Y_1 \cap Y_2) = \text{codim}(Y_1) + \text{codim}(Y_2)$, and $\mathcal{N}_{Y_j/X}$ is q_j -ample, for $j = 1, 2$. Then $\mathcal{N}_{Y_1 \cap Y_2/X}$ is $(q_1 + q_2)$ -ample.*
- (iii) *Suppose $Y_j \subset X_j$ are connected lci and $\mathcal{N}_{Y_j/X_j}^\vee$ is not pseudo-effective (so $Y_j \subset X_j$ is G2), for $j = 1, 2$. Then $Y_1 \times Y_2$ is lci and G2 in $X_1 \times X_2$.*
- (iv) *Let $f : X' \rightarrow X$ be a surjective, flat morphism. Suppose $Y \subset X$ is lci and $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample. Then $Y' := f^{-1}(Y) \subset X'$ is lci and $\mathcal{N}_{Y'/X'}$ is $(\dim Y' - 1)$ -ample.*

Proof. The statements are consequences of the sub-additivity properties 1.4 and 1.5.

- (i) The sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Y_2/Y_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Y_2/X} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Y_1/X}|_{Y_2} \rightarrow 0$ implies that $\mathcal{N}_{Y_2/X}$ is $(q_1 + q_2)$ -ample.
- (ii) Note that $Y_1 \cap Y_2$ is lci in X and its normal bundle fits into:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Y_2/X}|_{Y_1 \cap Y_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Y_1 \cap Y_2/X} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Y_1/X}|_{Y_1 \cap Y_2} \rightarrow 0.$$

- (iii) We apply Lemma 1.5 to $\mathcal{N}_{Y_1 \times Y_2/X_1 \times X_2} = \mathcal{N}_{Y_1/X_1} \boxplus \mathcal{N}_{Y_2/X_2}$ and deduce that $\mathcal{N}_{Y_1 \times Y_2/X_1 \times X_2}$ is $(\dim Y_1 + \dim Y_2 - 1)$ -ample.
- (iv) Note that $\mathcal{N}_{Y'/X'} = f^* \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$. Since f is flat, its fibre dimension is constant. The claim follows from Leray's spectral sequence applied to $Y' \rightarrow Y$. \square

Corollary 4.2 *Suppose Y_1, Y_2 are lci in X , $\text{codim}(Y_1 \cap Y_2) = \text{codim}(Y_1) + \text{codim}(Y_2)$, and $\mathcal{N}_{Y_j/X}$ is q_j -ample, for $j = 1, 2$. If $Y_1 \cap Y_2$ is connected and $q_2 < \dim(Y_1 \cap Y_2)$, e.g. $q_2 = 0$, then $Y_1 \cap Y_2$ is G2 in Y_1 .*

The connectedness and G3-property of the intersection of partially ample subvarieties is discussed in Section 8. The corollary says that the analogous G2-property holds under fairly general circumstances.

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{N}_{Y_1 \cap Y_2/Y_1} \cong \mathcal{N}_{Y_2/X}|_{Y_1 \cap Y_2}$. \square

4.2 Varieties whose cotangent bundle is not pseudo-effective

Suppose $Y \subset X$ is a smooth subvariety. Proposition 1.6 relates the $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ampleness of the normal bundle of Y to the non-pseudo-effectiveness of $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}^\vee$. Since $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is a quotient of \mathcal{T}_X , one hopes that Theorem 3.3 applies to (generic) subvarieties of projective varieties X such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{T}_X)}(1)$ is not pseudo-effective. Examples of projective varieties with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{T}_X)}(1)$ non-pseudo-effective include uniruled varieties and, possibly, Calabi-Yau varieties.

Indeed, the canonical bundle of an uniruled variety X is not pseudo-effective (cf. [8]), hence its cotangent bundle is the same (cf. [17, Theorem 6.7(a)]). Explicitly, one should find a moving

curve $\varphi = (\varphi_P, \varphi_Z) : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1 \times Z$, as in Proposition 1.6, on a ruling $\mathbf{P}^1 \times Z$ of X . Consider a complete intersection $C \subset Z$ of high degree, so φ_Z is the inclusion, and a finite morphism $\varphi_P : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. Then φ_P is movable because \mathbf{P}^1 is homogeneous. The line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_C(x)$, $x \in C$, is ample on C and $\mathcal{L} \subset \varphi_P^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{P}^1})$, since $\varphi_P^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{P}^1}$ is globally generated. Similarly, the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{C/Z}$ is a direct sum of globally generated line bundles, so $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}, \varphi_Z^*\mathcal{N}_{C/Z})$ is globally generated too. Hence the inclusion $\mathcal{L} \subset \varphi^*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{P}^1 \times Z}$ is movable.

Candidates of varieties with non-pseudo-effective cotangent bundle include Calabi-Yau varieties X . In [17, Corollary 6.12] it is proved that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{T}_X)}(1)$ is not pseudo-effective if its non-nef locus does not cover X .

Corollary 4.3 *Let X be a smooth projective variety whose cotangent bundle is not pseudo-effective. Then the diagonal $\Delta_X := \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$ is G2 in the product $X \times X$.*

(See 9.5 for the G3-property of the diagonal in the quasi-homogeneous case.)

Proof. The normal bundle of Δ_X is isomorphic to \mathcal{T}_X and we conclude by 3.4. \square

Notation 4.4 For shorthand, denote $\mathbf{P} := \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{T}_X)$ and $\mathbf{P}_Y := \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{T}_{X \upharpoonright Y})$ its restriction to Y ; let $\pi : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow X$ be the projection. Define $\text{Mov}(\mathbf{P}_Y)_{\mathbf{Q}} \subset H_2(\mathbf{P}_Y; \mathbf{Q})$ to be the cone generated by the classes of movable curves on \mathbf{P}_Y and $\text{Mov}(\mathbf{P})_{\mathbf{Q}}$ similarly.

Theorem 4.5 *Let the notation be as above and assume that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ is not pseudo-effective. Consider a smooth irreducible subvariety $Y \subset X$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_Y}(1)$ is not pseudo-effective, too. Then $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample, so Y is G2.*

Proof. Indeed, $\mathcal{T}_{X \upharpoonright Y}$ is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample, so $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is the same. \square

One may ask if one can weaken the condition on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$. The answer is negative, for sufficiently general subvarieties. That is, if X has pseudo-effective cotangent bundle and $Y \subset X$ is general, the partial ampleness of $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ has to be verified directly.

Lemma 4.6 *Let $Y \subset X$ be a positive-dimensional subvariety and*

$$\iota_* : H_2(\mathbf{P}_Y; \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(\mathbf{P}; \mathbf{Q})$$

be the homomorphism induced by the inclusion. In the situations enumerated below, it holds:

$$\iota_*(\text{Mov}(\mathbf{P}_Y))_{\mathbf{Q}} \subseteq \text{Mov}(\mathbf{P})_{\mathbf{Q}}. \tag{4.1}$$

- (i) *An algebraic group G acts on X with an open orbit O , such that the stabilizer of a point $x \in O$ acts with open orbit on $\mathcal{T}_{X,x}$ and $Y \cap O \neq \emptyset$.*
- (ii) *Y is a movable, very general subvariety of X and \mathbb{k} is uncountable.*

Hence, if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_Y}(1)$ is not pseudo-effective, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ is the same.

Proof. (i) The G -translates of a movable curve on \mathbf{P}_Y cover an open subset of $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{T}_X)$.

(ii) Let $Y_S \subset S \times X$ an S -flat family of subvarieties of X , where S is an affine variety. Curves on X are parametrized by their Hilbert polynomial with respect to $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$, of degree one, with integer

coefficients. Let Π be the set of polynomials which occur as Hilbert polynomials of movable curves on Y_s , for $s \in S$; it is a countable set.

For $P \in \Pi$, denote by $\text{Hilb}_{Y_S/S}^P \xrightarrow{\pi_P} S$ the corresponding relative Hilbert scheme; it is projective over S . We are interested only in the components corresponding to curves. For $s \in S$, let $\Pi_s \subset \Pi$ be the set of polynomials P_s such that π_{P_s} is not dominant; denote $\Pi_{\text{rigid}} := \bigcup_{s \in S} \Pi_s$. The image of $\text{Hilb}_{Y_S/S}^{\Pi_{\text{rigid}}} \rightarrow S$ is a countable union of proper subvarieties.

Take $s' \in S$ in the complement (\mathbb{k} is uncountable); let $P_{s'}$ be the Hilbert polynomial of some movable curve $C_{s'} \subset Y_{s'}$. Then $P_{s'} \notin \Pi_{\text{rigid}}$, by the choice of s' , so $\text{Hilb}_{Y_S/S}^{P_{s'}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{P_{s'}}} S$ dominates S , hence $\pi_{P_{s'}}$ is surjective. Let $\Pi' := \Pi \setminus \Pi_{\text{rigid}}$. The components of $\text{Hilb}_{Y_S/S}^{\Pi'}$ (corresponding to movable curves) dominate S , so they are flat over the very general point $o \in S$.

We claim that movable curves on Y_o are movable on X . Indeed, for P_o as above, consider the universal curve $\mathcal{C}_S \subset \text{Hilb}_{Y_S/S}^{P_o} \times_S Y_S$. The family $\mathcal{C}_o \subset \text{Hilb}_{Y_o}^{P_o} \times Y_o$ covers an open subset of Y_o . The continuity of the S -morphism $\text{Hilb}_{Y_S/S}^{P_o} \times_S Y_S \rightarrow Y_S$ implies that the same holds for $\mathcal{C}_s \subset \text{Hilb}_{Y_s}^{P_o} \times Y_s$, for s in a neighbourhood of $o \in S$. Finally, $Y_S \rightarrow X$ is dominant, so \mathcal{C}_S covers an open subset of X . \square

Remark 4.7 If $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ is not pseudo-effective, there are numerous subvarieties $Y \subset X$ with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_Y}(1)$ non-pseudo-effective. Indeed, let $M \subset \mathbf{P}$ be a reduced, movable curve such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \cdot M < 0$, of the form $M = \sigma_* \tilde{M}$, with $\tilde{\mathbf{P}} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbf{P}$ birational and \tilde{M} a complete intersection on $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$. Take $Y \subset X$ such that $M \subset \mathbf{P}_Y$. Then $\tilde{M} \subset \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_Y := \mathbf{P}_Y \times_{\mathbf{P}} \tilde{\mathbf{P}} \subset \tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ is a complete intersection, so $M = \sigma_* \tilde{M}$ is movable on \mathbf{P}_Y and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_Y}(1) \cdot M < 0$.

More precisely, for $\mathbb{k} = \mathbf{C}$ and X Kählerian, results obtained by Boucksom imply: if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ is pseudo-effective and $Y \subset X$ is such that \mathbf{P}_Y is not contained in the non-nef locus of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_Y}(1)$ is pseudo-effective too (cf. [10]).

Part II: q -ample subvarieties of projective varieties

Ottem introduced in [40] the notion of an ample subvariety of a projective variety and studied the corresponding properties. First, we generalize this concept and define partial ampleness for subvarieties; those results in [40] which naturally extend to this setting are recalled in Section 5, with brief or no proofs.

Second, based on Part I, we show that partially ample, lci subvarieties are G3 in the ambient space. We apply the result in a number of situations and give a variety of examples; this is done in Sections 7 and 9. Furthermore, in Section 8 we discuss a connectedness conjecture, which fails in general, due to Fulton-Hansen. We show that, under certain transversality assumptions, pre-images of partially ample subvarieties are indeed connected.

5. Definition and equivalent characterizations

5.1 First properties

Definition 5.1 (cf. [40, Definition 3.1]) Let X be a projective variety over the ground field \mathbb{k} and $Y \subset X$ a subscheme of codimension δ . We denote $\tilde{X} := \text{Bl}_Y(X)$ the blow-up of \mathcal{J}_Y and $E_Y \subset \tilde{X}$ the exceptional divisor.

We say that Y is a q -ample subscheme of X if the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_Y)$ is $(q + \delta - 1)$ -ample. That is, for any locally free, hence for any coherent, sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on \tilde{X} holds:

$$H^t(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(mE_Y)) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq q + \delta, \quad \forall m \gg 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Remark 5.2 (i) For $q = 0$ one recovers the ample subschemes introduced by Ottem.

(ii) Ample subvarieties are equidimensional (cf. [40, Proposition 3.4]). This is not necessarily true for $q > 0$. Indeed, let $X := \mathbf{P}^2$ and $Y := \{x = 0\} \cup \{y = z = 0\}$. Then $\tilde{X} = \text{Bl}_Y(\mathbf{P}^2)$ is isomorphic to the blow-up of \mathbf{P}^2 at $[1 : 0 : 0]$ —we denote it by $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^2$ and by E the exceptional divisor—, and $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_Y) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^2}(E)$. For $m \geq 1$, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^2}((m-1)E)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(m) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^2}(mE)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_E(-m)) \rightarrow 0,$$

shows that the middle term does not vanish, so $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_Y)$ is 1-ample, hence $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ is 1-ample.

Proposition 5.3 (i) *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ be a flat, projective morphism and let \mathcal{Y} be a lci closed subscheme of \mathcal{X} such that $f_{\mathcal{Y}} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$ is flat. Assume that there is a point $o \in S$ such that Y_o is q -ample in X_o . Then there is an open neighbourhood U of o such that for each $s \in U$, Y_s is q -ample in X_s .*

(ii) *Let Y be a subscheme of a projective variety X and let \bar{Y} be its integral closure. Then Y is q -ample in X if and only if \bar{Y} is. In particular, a subscheme Y is q -ample if and only if the subscheme Y associated to a reduction is.*

Proof. See [40], Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 6.8, respectively. □

Proposition 5.4 (cf. [40, Theorem 5.4]) *A subscheme $Y \subset X$ is q -ample if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{E_Y}(E_Y) \text{ is } (\delta + q - 1)\text{-ample,} \\ \text{cd}(X \setminus Y) \leq \delta + q - 1. \end{cases}$$

(Recall that $\text{cd}(X \setminus Y) \geq \delta - 1$ for any Y of codimension δ .)

Proof. (\Rightarrow) By [44, Theorem 6.3], it is enough to check the property (5.1) for sheaves $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{E_Y}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-k}$, $k \geq 1$, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ is ample. The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}((m-1)E_Y) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(mE_Y) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{E_Y}(mE_Y) \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.2)$$

implies $H^t(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{E_Y}(mE_Y)) = 0$ for $t \geq \delta + q$ and $m \gg 0$. Second, [40, (5.1)] implies that $H^t(X \setminus Y, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = \varinjlim H^t(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(mE_Y))$, which vanishes for $t \geq \delta + q$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be a locally free sheaf on \tilde{X} . For $t \geq \delta + q$, (5.2) shows that the dimension of $H^t(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(mE_Y))$ is eventually constant. But the limit is $H^t(X \setminus Y, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ which vanishes, by the assumption on the cohomological dimension. □

The proposition breaks the issue of deciding the partial ampleness of a subscheme $Y \subset X$ into a local and a global problem. The partial ampleness of the normal sheaf is typically easier to verify. Unfortunately, it is more difficult to control the cohomological dimension of the complement (cf. [39, 37]).

For $\mathbb{k} = \mathbf{C}$, a result due to Andreotti-Grauert [1, Corollaire, pp. 250] says that $\text{cd}(X \setminus Y) < q$ if $X \setminus Y$ is a strongly q -complete analytic variety. (That is, $X \setminus Y$ admits an exhaustion function which is strongly q -convex.)

Proposition 5.5 *Assume that X is a Cohen-Macaulay variety and Y is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) Y is connected;
- (ii) Moreover, if Y is Cohen-Macaulay, then Y is equidimensional, too.

Proof. (i) Proposition 5.4 implies that $\text{cd}(X \setminus Y) \leq \dim X - 2$. According to [29, Ch. III, Theorem 3.4], $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(\tilde{X}_Y, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_Y})$ is an isomorphism, so Y is connected. (Note that the reference requires X to be smooth. However, this assumption is used only to apply the Serre duality, cf. *loc. cit.*, proof of Theorem 3.3.)

(ii) By the unmixedness theorem, local Cohen-Macaulay rings are equidimensional. □

Proposition 5.6 *Let $Y \subset X$ be a subscheme, $\tilde{X} := \text{Bl}_Y(X)$. We consider the conditions:*

- (a) Y is q -ample;
- (b) For all locally free sheaves \mathcal{F} on X , we have:

$$H^t(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_Y^m) = 0, \quad \forall t \leq \dim Y - q, \quad \forall m \geq \text{ct}^{\mathcal{F}}. \quad (5.3)$$

Then the following statements hold:

- (i) If \tilde{X} is Cohen-Macaulay, then (a) \Rightarrow (b); so, if $q \leq \dim Y - 1$, Y is connected.
- (ii) If \tilde{X} is Gorenstein, then (a) \Leftrightarrow (b).

Proof. (i) Since $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_Y)$ is relatively ample for $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, for $m \gg 0$, Leray's spectral sequence and the Serre duality on \tilde{X} yield the following isomorphisms:

$$H^t(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_Y^m) \cong H^t(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-mE_Y)) \cong H^{\dim X - t}(\tilde{X}, \omega_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(mE_Y)).$$

(ii) In this case $\omega_{\tilde{X}}$ is an invertible sheaf. The previous equation shows that the condition (5.1) holds for invertible sheaves $\omega_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mathcal{L}$, with $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$; we need to prove that it holds for an arbitrary coherent sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on \tilde{X} .

We consider $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ ample such that $\mathcal{A}(-E_Y)$ is ample on \tilde{X} . For $c > 0$ such that $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \omega_{\tilde{X}}^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{A}(-E_Y)^c$ is globally generated, we have the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 := \text{Ker}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow (\omega_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{-c} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(cE_Y))^{\oplus N} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow 0, \quad (\text{for some } N > 0).$$

The inductive procedure in [40, Lemma 2.1] yields the conclusion. Indeed, the previous discussion shows that $H^j(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(mE_Y)) \subset H^{j+1}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(mE_Y))$, for $j \geq \text{codim} Y + q$ and $m \gg 0$. By repeating the argument for $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$, etc., we obtain the desired cohomology vanishing for $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. □

Remark 5.7 (i) In conjunction with 5.6(i), recall that any projective scheme X admits a birational modification $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that \tilde{X} is Cohen-Macaulay (cf. [35]). This can be interpreted as the blow-up of some subscheme $Y \subset X$.

(ii) The Gorenstein property of the blow-up and of the Rees algebra has been investigated by several authors (cf. [33] and the references therein). An important situation, which covers many geometric applications, is when X is smooth and $Y \subset X$ is lci.

Sometimes it is convenient to work with the ‘positivity’, as opposed to the ‘ampleness’, of various objects. For this reason, we introduce the following *ad hoc* terminology.

Definition 5.8 The subscheme Y of X is (has the property) $p^{>0}$ if, for all locally free sheaves \mathcal{F} on X , holds: $H^t(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{J}_Y^m) = 0$, $\forall t \leq p$, $\forall m \geq \text{ct}^{\mathcal{F}}$.

If \tilde{X} is Gorenstein, our discussion shows: Y is $p^{>0} \Leftrightarrow Y$ is $(\dim Y - p)$ -ample.

A simple criterion

The computation of the ampleness of a subvariety is not straightforward, in general. However, this task becomes easy in the situation below. In the sections 9.2, 9.3 we apply the criterion to zero loci of sections in globally generated vector bundles and to sources of G_m -actions, respectively.

Proposition 5.9 Let Y be a subscheme of X . Assume that there is a scheme V , and a morphism $\phi : \tilde{X} = \text{Bl}_Y(X) \rightarrow V$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_Y)$ is ϕ -relatively ample. Then $Y \subset X$ is q -ample, for

$$q := 1 + \dim \phi(\tilde{X}) - \text{codim}_X(Y).$$

If \tilde{X} is Gorenstein (e.g. X is smooth, Y is lci), then Y is $p^{>0}$, for $p := \dim X - \dim \phi(\tilde{X}) - 1$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be a coherent sheaf on \tilde{X} . Since $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_Y)$ is relatively ample, it holds:

$$R^t \phi_*(\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(mE_Y)) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad m \gg 0.$$

For $j \geq \text{codim}_X(Y) + q > \dim \phi(\tilde{X})$, we have

$$H^j(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(mE_Y)) = H^j(V, \phi_*(\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(mE_Y))).$$

But the right hand-side vanishes, because $\text{Supp } \phi_*(\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(mE_Y))$ is at most $\dim \phi(\tilde{X})$ -dimensional. \square

Proposition 5.10 Let the situation be as in 5.9, $\mathbb{k} = \mathbf{C}$, and X, Y smooth. Then holds:

$$H^t(X; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^t(Y; \mathbf{Z}) \text{ is: } \begin{cases} \text{an isomorphism, for } t \leq p - 1; \\ \text{surjective, for } t = p. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $p \geq 3$, then $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. We claim that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_Y)$ is $\dim \phi(\tilde{X})$ -positive, so we apply Theorem 1.9(ii). Indeed, $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_Y)$ is ϕ -relatively ample, so there is an embedding $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbf{P}^N \times V$ (over V) such that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(m_0 E_Y) = \iota^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{M})$, for some $m_0 > 0$, $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic}(V)$. Now take the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(1)$ and an arbitrary on \mathcal{M} . \square

5.2 Elementary operations

Now we study the behaviour of partial ampleness under various natural operations: intersection, pull-back, product. Combined with Theorem 7.1, one obtains various sufficient criteria for a subvariety to be G3 in the ambient space.

Assumption. In this section, we assume that X is smooth and Y is lci.

Proposition 5.11 *Let $f : X' \rightarrow X$ be a flat, surjective morphism, with X, X' smooth. If $Y \subset X$ is lci and $p^{>0}$, then $Y' := f^{-1}(Y) \subset X'$ is the same.*

Proof. Since f is flat, Y' is lci in X' and $\text{codim}_{X'}(Y') = \text{codim}_X(Y) = \delta$. We check the property (5.1). The universality property of the blow-up (cf. [30, Ch. II, Corollary 7.15]) yields the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}' = \text{Bl}_{Y'}(X') & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{X} = \text{Bl}_Y(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X. \end{array}$$

The fibres of f and \tilde{f} have the same dimension—let it be d —and $\tilde{f}^* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_Y) = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}'}(E_{Y'})$. For any coherent sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on \tilde{X}' holds:

$$R^i \tilde{f}_*(\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}'}(mE_{Y'})) = R^i f_* \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(mE_Y), \quad R^j \tilde{f}_* \tilde{\mathcal{G}} = 0, \quad j > d.$$

As $Y \subset X$ is $p^{>0}$, we deduce:

$$H^t(\tilde{X}, R^i \tilde{f}_* \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(mE_Y)) = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, d, \quad t \geq (\dim X - p), \quad \text{and } m \gg 0.$$

The Leray spectral sequence implies that $E_{Y'}$ is $((\dim X - p) + d - 1)$ -ample. \square

Proposition 5.12 *For $Y \subset X$ irreducible and lci, it holds:*

$$Y \text{ is } p^{>0} \iff \begin{cases} \text{the normal sheaf } \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_{Y/X} \text{ is } (\dim Y - p)\text{-ample,} \\ \text{the cohomological dimension } \text{cd}(X \setminus Y) \leq \dim X - (p + 1). \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. Apply 5.4: $E_Y = \text{Proj}(\underbrace{\text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathcal{J}_Y/\mathcal{J}_Y^2)}_{=\mathcal{N}^\vee}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{E_Y}(E_Y) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})}(-1)$. \square

Proposition 5.13 *Suppose $Z \subset Y$ is $p^{>0}$, $Y \subset X$ is $r^{>0}$, and both are irreducible lci. Then it holds:*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{N}_{Z/X} \text{ is } (\dim Y + \dim Z - (r + p))\text{-ample,} \\ \text{cd}(X \setminus Z) \leq \dim X - (\min\{r, p\} + 1). \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

In particular, $Z \subset X$ is $(p - (\dim Y - r))^{>0}$.

Proof. The first inequality is proved in 4.1(i). The bound on the cohomological dimension is analogous to [40, Proposition 6.4]; we recall the proof here. Let $U_Z := X \setminus Z, U_Y := X \setminus Y$ and consider an arbitrary sheaf \mathcal{G} on X . In the exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{Y \setminus Z}^i(U_Z, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^i(U_Z, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^i(U_Y, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \dots,$$

the right hand-side vanishes for $i \geq \dim X - r$, because $Y \subset X$ is $r^{>0}$. We claim that the left hand-side vanishes too, for $i \geq \dim X - p$. Indeed, it can be computed by using the spectral sequence (cf. [24, Exposé I, Théorème 2.6]):

$$H^b(U_Z, \mathcal{H}_{Y \setminus Z}^a(\mathcal{G})) \Rightarrow H_{Y \setminus Z}^{a+b}(U_Z, \mathcal{G}),$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{Y \setminus Z}^a(\mathcal{G})$ stands for the local cohomology sheaf with support on $Y \setminus Z$. The term on the left has the following properties:

- $\mathcal{H}_{Y \setminus Z}^a(\mathcal{G}) = \varinjlim_m \mathcal{E}xt^a(\mathcal{O}_{U_Z}/\mathcal{J}_{Y \setminus Z}^m, \mathcal{G})$ (cf. [24, Exposé II, Théorème 2]), the $\mathcal{E}xt$ groups are supported on $Y \setminus Z$, and $Z \subset Y$ is $p^{>0}$, hence $H^b(U_Z, \mathcal{H}_{Y \setminus Z}^a(\mathcal{G})) = 0$, $\forall b \geq \dim Y - p$;
- $\mathcal{H}_{Y \setminus Z}^a(\mathcal{G}) = 0$, $\forall a \geq \dim X - \dim Y + 1$, because $Y \subset X$ is lci.

We deduce: $H_{Y \setminus Z}^i(U_Z, \mathcal{G}) = 0$, for $i \geq (\dim X - \dim Y) + (\dim Y - p - 1) + 1$. \square

The lack of sufficient positivity of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{Z/X}$ prevented us to conclude that $Z \subset X$ is $\min\{r, p\}^{>0}$. However, we shall see in Proposition 6.4 that this is close to be true.

Proposition 5.14 (i) *Let $Y_1, Y_2 \subset X$ be respectively q_1 -, q_2 -ample lci subvarieties such that $\text{codim}(Y_1 \cap Y_2) = \text{codim}(Y_1) + \text{codim}(Y_2)$. Then $Y_1 \cap Y_2 \subset X$ is $(q_1 + q_2)$ -ample.*

(ii) *Suppose $Y_j \subset X_j$ are lci and $p_j^{>0}$, for $j = 1, 2$. Then $Y_1 \times Y_2 \subset X_1 \times X_2$ is $\min\{p_1, p_2\}^{>0}$.*

Proof. (i) By 4.1, $\mathcal{N}_{Y_1 \cap Y_2/X}$ is $(q_1 + q_2)$ -ample. The Mayer-Vietoris sequence for a coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on $X \setminus (Y_1 \cap Y_2)$ is:

$$\rightarrow H^{i-1}(X \setminus (Y_1 \cup Y_2), \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^i(X \setminus (Y_1 \cap Y_2), \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^i(X \setminus Y_1, \mathcal{G}) \oplus H^i(X \setminus Y_2, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $X \setminus (Y_1 \cup Y_2)$ is closed in $(X \setminus Y_1) \times (X \setminus Y_2)$, we have:

$$\text{cd}(X \setminus (Y_1 \cup Y_2)) \leq \text{cd}(X \setminus Y_1) + \text{cd}(X \setminus Y_2) \leq q_1 + q_2 + \delta_1 + \delta_2 - 2.$$

It follows $\text{cd}(X \setminus (Y_1 \cap Y_2)) \leq q_1 + q_2 + \text{codim}(Y_1 \cap Y_2) - 1$, hence $Y_1 \cap Y_2$ is $(q_1 + q_2)$ -ample (cf. Proposition 5.12).

(ii) We showed in 4.1 that $\mathcal{N}_{Y_1 \times Y_2/X_1 \times X_2}$ is q -ample, with $q = \dim Y_1 + \dim Y_2 - \min\{p_1, p_2\}$. Second, we have: $X_1 \times X_2 \setminus Y_1 \times Y_2 = \underbrace{(X_1 \setminus Y_1) \times X_2}_{=O_1} \cup \underbrace{X_1 \times (X_2 \setminus Y_2)}_{=O_2}$.

Since $\text{cd}(O_1 \cap O_2) < \dim(X_1 \times X_2) - (p_1 + p_2) - 1$, $\text{cd}(O_j) < \dim(X_1 \times X_2) - p_j$, $j = 1, 2$, the Mayer-Vietoris sequence implies that $\text{cd}(O_1 \cup O_2) < \dim(X_1 \times X_2) - \min\{p_1, p_2\}$. \square

6. Weak positivity

Throughout this section we assume that X is a smooth projective variety. We define a weak positivity property for a subscheme, suggested by the condition 5.8. This concept allows to prove a sort of transitivity for the $p^{>0}$ -property (cf. Proposition 6.4).

Definition 6.1 We say that a subscheme $Y \subset X$ is $p^{\geq 0}$ (*weakly $p^{>0}$*) if there is a decreasing sequence of sheaves of ideals $\{\mathcal{J}_m\}_m$ such that the following conditions hold:

- $\forall m, n \geq 1 \exists m' > m, n' > n$ such that $\mathcal{J}_{m'} \subset \mathcal{J}_Y^m, \mathcal{J}_{n'} \subset \mathcal{J}_n$;
 - for any locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , $\exists \text{ct}^{\mathcal{F}} \geq 1$ such that $H^t(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{J}_m) = 0$, $\forall t \leq p \forall m \geq \text{ct}^{\mathcal{F}}$.
- (6.1)

Obviously, Y is $p^{\geq 0}$ if and only if Y_{red} is $p^{\geq 0}$.

Lemma 6.2 *Assume that $Y \subset X$ is lci and $p^{\geq 0}$. Then we have:*

$$\text{cd}(X \setminus Y) \leq \dim X - (p + 1).$$

Proof. Since X is non-singular, it holds (cf. [29, Proposition III.3.1]):

$$\mathrm{cd}(X \setminus Y) < c \Leftrightarrow H^t(X \setminus Y, \mathcal{L}) = 0, \forall \mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(X), \forall t \geq c.$$

We have $X \setminus Y \cong \tilde{X} \setminus E_Y$ and $H^t(\tilde{X} \setminus E_Y, \mathcal{L}) = \varinjlim H^t(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{L}(mE_Y))$, (cf. [40, (5.1)]). Since $\mathrm{Pic}(\tilde{X}) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X) \oplus \mathbf{Z}E_Y$, we have $\omega_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \cong \mathcal{M}(lE_Y)$ for some $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$, $l \in \mathbf{Z}$. The Serre duality on \tilde{X} implies that it is enough to verify:

$$\varinjlim H^j(X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{J}_Y^m) = 0, \forall \mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Pic}(X), \forall j \leq \dim X - c.$$

The defining property of the sequence $\{\mathcal{J}_n\}_n$ together with the universality property of the projective limit yields: $\varinjlim H^j(X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{J}_Y^m) = \varinjlim H^j(X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{J}_n)$. By hypothesis, the right hand-side vanishes for $j \leq p$. \square

Corollary 6.3 *Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbf{C} and Y a smooth $p \gtrsim 0$ subvariety. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) (cf. [40, Corollary 5.2]) $H^t(X; \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow H^t(Y; \mathbf{Q})$ is an isomorphism, for $t \leq p - 1$, and injective for $t = p$.
- (ii) Suppose $p \geq 3$.
 - (a) The homomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\hat{X}_Y)$ is bijective.
 - (b) $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^0(Y)$ is a finite morphism and $\mathrm{NS}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}(Y)$ has finite index. Hence, $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X) = 0$ implies $\mathrm{Pic}^0(Y) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Pic}(Y)$ is finitely generated (cf. [28, Corollary 8.6]).

Proof. (i) See *loc. cit.*

(ii)(a) By [28, Lemma 8.3], one has the exact sequence:

$$H^1(X; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(\hat{X}_Y; \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}_Y}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\hat{X}_Y) \rightarrow H^2(X; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\hat{X}_Y; \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}_Y}).$$

But $H^j(\hat{X}_Y; \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}_Y}) = \varinjlim_m H^j(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}_Y^m) = \varinjlim_n H^j(\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{J}_n) = H^j(\mathcal{O}_X)$, for $j = 1, 2$. Note that $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ fits into a similar sequence. The previous step and the five lemma yields the conclusion.

(ii)(b) The Hodge decomposition implies that $H^t(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^t(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ are isomorphisms, for $t = 1, 2$. It remains to use the exponential sequences for X and Y . \square

Proposition 6.4 *Let $Y \subset X$ and $Z \subset Y$ be smooth $p > 0$ subvarieties. Then $Z \subset X$ is $p \gtrsim 0$. In particular, for all locally free sheaves \mathcal{F} on X holds:*

$$\mathrm{res}_Z^X : H^t(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^t(\hat{X}_Z, \mathcal{F}) \text{ is } \begin{cases} - \text{an isomorphism, for } t \leq p - 1, \\ - \text{injective, for } t = p. \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

Proof. The completion $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,z}$ of the local ring at a point $z \in Z$ is isomorphic to a ring of formal power series. Consider $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_u, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_v \in \mathcal{O}_{X,z}$, whose images in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,z}$ are independent variables, such that $\mathcal{J}_{Y,z} = \langle \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle = \langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_u \rangle$ and $\mathcal{J}_{Z,z} = \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle = \langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_u, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_v \rangle$. For $l \geq a$, a direct computation yields $\mathcal{J}_{Y,z}^a \cap \mathcal{J}_{Z,z}^l = \sum_{i=a}^l \langle \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle^i \cdot \langle \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle^{l-i} = \mathcal{J}_{Y,z}^a \cdot \mathcal{J}_{Z,z}^{l-a}$, which implies $(\mathcal{J}_{Z,z}^l + \mathcal{J}_{Y,z}^a)/\mathcal{J}_{Y,z}^a \cong \mathcal{J}_{Z,z}^l/\mathcal{J}_{Y,z}^a \cdot \mathcal{J}_{Z,z}^{l-a}$. We obtain the exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{J}_Y^a}{\mathcal{J}_Y^{a+1}} \otimes \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}_Z}{\mathcal{J}_Y} \right)^{l-a} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{J}_Z^l + \mathcal{J}_Y^{a+1}}{\mathcal{J}_Y^{a+1}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{J}_Z^l + \mathcal{J}_Y^a}{\mathcal{J}_Y^a} \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall l \geq a + 1. \quad (6.3)$$

The left hand-side is an \mathcal{O}_Y -module: $\mathcal{J}_Z/\mathcal{J}_Y = \mathcal{J}_{Z \subset Y}$ is the ideal of $Z \subset Y$ and $\mathcal{J}_Y^a/\mathcal{J}_Y^{a+1} = \text{Sym}^a \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}^\vee$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a locally free sheaf on X . The $p^{>0}$ property implies that there are a linear function $l(k) = ct_1 \cdot k + ct_2$ (with ct_1, ct_2 independent of \mathcal{F}) and integers $k_{\mathcal{F}}, l_{\mathcal{F}}$, with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} H^t(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{J}_Y^k) &= 0, \quad \forall t \leq p, \forall k \geq k_{\mathcal{F}}, \\ H^t(\mathcal{F}_Y \otimes \mathcal{J}_{Z \subset Y}^l) &= 0, \quad \forall t \leq p, \forall l \geq l_{\mathcal{F}}, \\ H^t(\mathcal{F}_Y \otimes \text{Sym}^a \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{J}_{Z \subset Y}^{l-a}) &= 0, \quad \forall t \leq p, \forall a \leq k, \forall l \geq l(k). \end{aligned}$$

For the last claim, one applies the uniform q -ampleness property (cf. 1.3):

- There is a function $\text{linear}(r)$ such that for any locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} with regularity $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}_Y) \leq r$ holds: $H^t(\mathcal{F}_Y \otimes \mathcal{J}_{Z \subset Y}^l) = 0, \forall t \leq p, l \geq \text{linear}(r)$.
- If $a \leq k$, then $\text{reg}(\mathcal{F}_Y \otimes \text{Sym}^a \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}^\vee) \leq \text{linear}(k)$.

Recursively for $a = 1, \dots, k$, starting with $\frac{\mathcal{J}_Z^l + \mathcal{J}_Y}{\mathcal{J}_Y} = \mathcal{J}_{Z \subset Y}^l$, the exact sequence (6.3) yields:

$$H^t\left(\mathcal{F} \otimes \frac{\mathcal{J}_Z^l + \mathcal{J}_Y^k}{\mathcal{J}_Y^k}\right) = 0, \quad \forall t \leq p, \forall l \geq l(k).$$

Now tensor $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_Y^k \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_Z^l + \mathcal{J}_Y^k \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{J}_Z^l + \mathcal{J}_Y^k}{\mathcal{J}_Y^k} \rightarrow 0$ by \mathcal{F} and deduce:

$$H^t(\mathcal{F} \otimes (\mathcal{J}_Y^k + \mathcal{J}_Z^l)) = 0, \quad \forall t \leq p, \forall k \geq k_{\mathcal{F}}, \forall l \geq l(k).$$

Note that the subschemes $Z_{l,k}$ defined by $\mathcal{J}_Y^k + \mathcal{J}_Z^l$ are ‘asymmetric’ thickenings of Z in X . The sequence of ideals $\mathcal{J}_k := \mathcal{J}_Y^k + \mathcal{J}_Z^{k+l(k)}$ satisfies the property (6.1): indeed,

$$\mathcal{J}_{k'} \subset \mathcal{J}_k, \text{ for } k' \geq k, \quad \mathcal{J}_Z^{m'} \subset \mathcal{J}_m, \text{ for } m' \geq m + l(m).$$

Thus $Z \subset X$ is $p \gtrsim 0$. The Lemma 6.2 implies that $\text{cd}(X \setminus Z) < \dim X - p$, hence (6.2) holds by [29, Theorem III.3.4(b)]. \square

7. The G3 property

In this section we show that partially ample subvarieties are G3 in the ambient space, not only G2 as in Section 3. This yields extension criteria for formal meromorphic functions in a number of situations. The key to deduce the G3 property is the following result due to Speiser.

Theorem (cf. [29, Corollary V.2.2]) *Let X be a non-singular projective variety and Y a closed subscheme. The statements below are equivalent:*

- (i) Y is G3 and intersects every effective divisor on X ;
- (ii) Y is G2 and $\text{cd}(X \setminus Y) \leq \dim X - 2$.

Theorem 7.1 *Let X be a non-singular projective variety and $Y \subset X$ be a $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample lci subvariety. Then $Y \subset X$ is G3.*

Proof. By Propositions 5.6, 5.12, Y is connected, $\text{cd}(X \setminus Y) \leq \dim X - 2$, and the normal bundle of Y is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample. Corollary 3.4 implies that $Y \subset X$ is G2 and it remains to apply Speiser’s result. \square

The difficulty in proving the $1^{>0}$ property of a subvariety is to control the cohomological dimension of its complement. Our strategy is to apply Speiser's theorem that is, we show the G3 property by a direct argument. In order to achieve this goal we adapt a result due to Chow and Bădescu-Schneider (cf. [13, 4]).

Assumption. Let $\mathcal{Y} = \{Y_s\}_{s \in S} \subset S \times X$ be a S -flat family of irreducible subvarieties of a non-singular variety X , parametrized by an irreducible quasi-projective variety S . We assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) $\rho : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow X$ is dominant (we say that \mathcal{Y} is *movable*);
 - (ii) $Y_s \cap D \neq \emptyset$, for any $s \in S$ and every effective divisor D on X .
- (7.1)

Theorem 7.2 *Let the situation be as in (7.1) and suppose X is algebraically simply connected. If Y_o is G2 in X , for some $o \in S$, then it is actually G3.*

Proof. The argument is analogous to [4, Theorem 13.4(ii)]. There is a normal, irreducible, projective variety X' , a G3 subvariety $Y'_o \subset X'$, and a morphism

$$f : (X', Y'_o) \rightarrow (X, Y_o)$$

such that f is étale in a neighbourhood of Y_o (cf. [4, Corollary 9.20]). We claim that f is étale everywhere, so $X' = X$ since X is simply connected, hence $Y_o \subset X$ is G3.

Let $\Delta' \subset X'$ be the ramification divisor of f and $\Delta := f_*(\Delta')$; it is an effective divisor on X and we want $\Delta = \emptyset$. We show that a generic deformation of Y_o avoids Δ , which is impossible by our hypothesis. Let us consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{Y}'_{\bar{\sigma}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}'_{\sigma} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}' := \mathcal{Y} \times_X X' & \xrightarrow{\rho'} & X' \\ \downarrow \varphi_{\bar{\sigma}} & & \downarrow \varphi_{\sigma} & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow f \\ \mathcal{Y}_{\bar{\sigma}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}_{\sigma} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \\ \bar{\sigma} := \text{Spec}(\overline{K(S)}) & \longrightarrow & \sigma := \text{Spec}(K(S)) & \longrightarrow & S & & \end{array}$$

The ramification divisor of φ is $\Delta_1 := (\rho')^{-1}(\Delta')$. It is enough to prove that $\Delta_1 \cap \mathcal{Y}'_{\sigma} = \emptyset$; in this case, $\Delta \cap Y_s = \emptyset$ for $s \in S$ generic. Moreover, it suffices to verify $\Delta_1 \cap \mathcal{Y}'_{\bar{\sigma}} = \emptyset$, that is $\varphi_{\bar{\sigma}}$ is étale.

First we observe that, since $\mathcal{Y}' \subset (S \times X) \times_X X' = S \times X'$ and ρ is dominant, $\mathcal{Y}'_{\sigma} \subset X'$ is dense, thus irreducible. Second, $Y_o \times_X Y'_o \cong Y_o$ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{Y}'_{\sigma} = \varphi^{-1}(o)$, and φ is étale in its neighbourhood. But $Y_o \times_X Y'_o$ is the specialization of some irreducible component Z of the fibre of $\mathcal{Y}'_{\bar{\sigma}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\bar{\sigma}}$, so $\varphi_{\bar{\sigma}} : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{\bar{\sigma}}$ is étale. Since \mathcal{Y}'_{σ} is irreducible, the components of $\mathcal{Y}'_{\bar{\sigma}}$ are conjugate under the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{K(S)}/K(S))$. We conclude that $\varphi_{\bar{\sigma}}$ is étale everywhere. \square

Theorem 7.3 *Let X be a non-singular, algebraically simply connected variety. Consider a family of lci subvarieties \mathcal{Y} as in (7.1), such that $\mathcal{N}_{Y_o/X}^{\vee}$ is not pseudo-effective for some $o \in S$. Then Y_o is a $1^{>0}$ subvariety of X .*

Hence, sufficiently general movable subvarieties of rationally connected varieties are $1^{>0}$.

Proof. Since the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{Y_o/X}$ is $(\dim Y_o - 1)$ -ample, Corollary 3.4 implies that Y_o is G2 in X ; the previous theorem shows that it is actually G3. It also intersects every divisor, so Speiser's result yields $\text{cd}(X \setminus Y_o) \leq \dim X - 2$. We deduce that Y_o is $1^{>0}$, by Proposition 5.12.

The last claim follows from the discussion in Remark 4.7 and the fact that rationally connected varieties are algebraically simply connected (cf. [15, Corollary 4.18]). \square

In Section 9 we shall see that the theorem applies to quasi-homogeneous varieties (in contrast to [4, Section 13]). This yields many new examples of G3 subvarieties.

7.1 Intersections with divisors

Here we discuss two fairly independent situations where the condition (7.1)(ii) is satisfied:

- every effective divisor on X is semi-ample that is, a multiple is globally generated;
- the family \mathcal{Y} is strongly movable.

7.1.1 Minimal Mori dream spaces They are the prototype of varieties whose effective divisors are semi-ample (cf. [34, Proposition 1.11]). Examples include Fano varieties, numerous toric and spherical varieties, and also GIT-quotients.

Corollary 7.4 *Let X be a smooth, algebraically simply connected, projective variety, such that every effective divisor on it is semi-ample. Suppose Y is a movable lci subvariety of X , such that its normal bundle is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample. Then Y is G3, actually $1^{>0}$, in X .*

Proof. In order to apply 7.3, it is enough to show that Y intersects every effective divisor D on X non-trivially. Suppose there is D such that $Y \cdot D = 0$. Since mD is globally generated for some $m \geq 1$, Y must be contained in a fibre F of $X \xrightarrow{\phi} |mD|$; the same holds for all the deformations of Y . Note that $\dim |mD| \geq 1$.

Since Y is movable, after possibly replacing Y by a deformation, we may assume that F is a regular fibre of ϕ . Then $\mathcal{N}_{F/X|_Y}$ (which is trivial, of rank at least one) is a quotient of $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ (which is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample). This is impossible. \square

7.1.2 Strongly movable families The concept of strongly movable subvarieties was introduced by Voisin, in the attempt to geometrically characterize big subvarieties of projective varieties (cf. [45, Section 2]).

Notation 7.5 Let $\mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{(\pi, \rho)} S \times X$ be a family of lci subvarieties of X , with ρ dominant; then $\rho(\mathcal{Y})$ contains an open subset O of X . The incidence variety $\Sigma \subset S \times S$ is the irreducible component of $(\pi, \pi)(\mathcal{Y} \times_X \mathcal{Y})$ which contains the diagonal; π is a projective morphism, so Σ is closed. One obtains the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{Y}_\Sigma := \Sigma \times_{S \times S} (\mathcal{Y} \times_X \mathcal{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\quad \rho_\Sigma \quad} & \mathcal{Y} \times_X \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{(\pi, \rho)} S \times X. \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow (\pi, \pi) \\
 \Sigma := \text{Image}(\pi, \pi) & \xrightarrow{\quad \iota \quad} & S \times S
 \end{array} \tag{7.2}$$

For $o \in S$, we denote $\Sigma_o := \iota^{-1}(o, S)$ and $\rho_o := \rho_\Sigma|_{\Sigma_o}$.

Definition 7.6 (i) The family \mathcal{Y} is *strongly movable*, if ρ_Σ is dominant.

(ii) The variety X is *\mathcal{Y} -chain connected in codimension one*, if there is an open subset $O \subset \rho(\mathcal{Y})$ satisfying the following properties:

- $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus O) \geq 2$, and
- $\forall x, x' \in O, \exists s_0, \dots, s_n \in S, x \in Y_{s_0}, x' \in Y_{s_n}, Y_{s_{j-1}} \cap Y_{s_j} \neq \emptyset, j = 1, \dots, n$.

For simplicity, we call such a sequence $(Y_{s_0}, \dots, Y_{s_n})$ a *\mathcal{Y} -chain*.

Obviously, if \mathcal{Y} is strongly movable, then there is an open subset of X whose points are connected by \mathcal{Y} -chains. We require that its complement in X has codimension at least two.

Lemma 7.7 *Let the notation be as in 7.5 and suppose \mathcal{Y} is strongly movable. Then, for generic $o \in S$, the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{Y_o/X}$ is $(\dim Y_o - 1)$ -ample. Hence Y_o —if it is lci—is G2 in X .*

Proof. We must find a movable morphism $C \xrightarrow{\varphi} Y_o$, an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}_C \in \text{Pic}(C)$, and a movable homomorphism $\mathcal{L}_C \rightarrow \varphi^* \mathcal{N}_{Y_o/X}$. A tangent vector $\xi \in \mathcal{T}_{S,o}$ induces an infinitesimal deformation $\hat{v}_\xi \in H^0(Y_o, \mathcal{N}_{Y_o/X})$ that is, a homomorphism

$$\hat{v}_\xi : \mathcal{O}_{Y_o} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{Y_o/X}.$$

Henceforth we restrict our attention to $\xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma_o,o} \subset \mathcal{T}_{S,o}$.

Claim 1 The vanishing locus of \hat{v}_ξ is a non-empty, proper subset of Y_o . Moreover, for $\xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma_o,o}$ variable, the vanishing loci of \hat{v}_ξ cover an open subset of Y_o .

The vector ξ is determined by an arc $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[[\epsilon]]) \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma_o$ through o on Σ_o . The defining property of Σ_o implies that $h(\epsilon) = y_\epsilon \in Y_o \cap Y_{h(\epsilon)}$, $h(0) = y \in Y_o$. Since Y_o is deformed in a tangential direction at y , we deduce that the infinitesimal deformation

$$\hat{v}_{\xi,y} = 0.$$

We claim that $\hat{v}_\xi \neq 0$, for generic ξ , and the vanishing loci cover an open subset of Y_o . Both statements follow from the strong mobility of \mathcal{Y} , which implies that $\mathcal{Y}_{\Sigma_o} \xrightarrow{\rho_o} X$ is dominant ($o \in S$ is generic). Indeed, on one hand, the differential of ρ_o at a generic point $y \in Y_o$ is surjective that is, $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma_o,o} \xrightarrow{d\rho_{o,y}} \mathcal{N}_{Y_o/X,y}$, $\xi \mapsto \hat{v}_{\xi,y}$, is surjective. On the other hand, $\rho_o^{-1}(Y_o) \rightarrow Y_o$ is dominant too, so the points y where \hat{v}_ξ vanishes cover an open subset of Y_o .

Claim 2 Now let $C \subset Y_o$ be a complete intersection curve which intersect the zero locus of \hat{v}_ξ properly. By Claim 1, such curves are movable. Moreover, \hat{v}_ξ extends to a pointwise injective homomorphism $\mathcal{L}_C \subset \mathcal{N}_{Y_o/X}|_C$, where \mathcal{L}_C is an ample line bundle. The latter is movable too, because $d\rho_{o,y}$ is surjective at the generic point $y \in Y_o$. \square

Lemma 7.8 *Let the notation be as in 7.5 and suppose X is \mathcal{Y} -chain connected in codimension one. Then, for any $s \in S$, Y_s intersects every effective divisor D on X and the intersection $D \cdot Y_s$ is numerically non-trivial.*

Proof. We fix a generic point $o \in S$ and assume that there is an irreducible effective divisor D in X , such that $D \cap Y_o = \emptyset$. Note that $D \cap O \neq \emptyset$, so $\mathcal{D} := \overline{\rho^{-1}(D \cap O)} \subset \rho^{-1}(D)$ is a divisor on \mathcal{Y} .

Claim 1 $D \cap Y_s = \emptyset$ or $Y_s \subset D$, for all $s \in S$.

Otherwise, for some $s \in S$, the intersection $D \cap Y_s$ is proper, thus $D \cdot Y_s \neq 0$. The deformation invariance of the intersection product yields $D \cap Y_o \neq 0$, a contradiction.

Note that π is projective, so $\pi(\mathcal{D})$ is closed in S . The claim implies:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{D} \cap \rho^{-1}(Y_s) = \emptyset \\ \text{or} \\ \rho^{-1}(Y_s) \subset \mathcal{D} \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \pi(\mathcal{D}) \cap \pi(\rho^{-1}(Y_s)) = \emptyset \\ \text{or} \\ \pi(\rho^{-1}(Y_s)) \subset \pi(\mathcal{D}). \end{array} \right.$$

For the last implication, observe the following:

$$t \in \pi(\mathcal{D}) \cap \pi(\rho^{-1}(Y_s)) \Rightarrow D \cap Y_t \neq \emptyset, \text{ so } Y_t \subset D \xrightarrow{Y_s \cap Y_t \subset Y_t} Y_s \subset D.$$

Claim 2 $\pi(\mathcal{D})$ contains an open subset of S , so π is surjective.

We use the chain-connectedness of \mathcal{Y} : since $D \cap O \neq \emptyset$, there are $o = s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$,

$$Y_{s_{j-1}} \cap Y_{s_j} \neq \emptyset \text{ and } D \cap Y_{s_n} \neq \emptyset.$$

The previous claim implies $Y_{s_n} \subset D$, so $D \cap Y_{s_{n-1}} \neq \emptyset$, etc. Inductively, we deduce $Y_o \subset D$, so the generic point $o \in S$ belongs to $\pi(\mathcal{D})$. Then $\pi(\mathcal{D}) = S$, so it holds $\mathcal{D} = \pi^{-1}(\pi(\mathcal{D})) = \mathcal{Y}$, a contradiction.

Finally, the intersection $D \cap Y_o$ is proper, hence numerically non-trivial, because otherwise $Y_o \subset D$, for generic $o \in S$, which is impossible. \square

Theorem 7.9 *Let \mathcal{Y} be a family of lci subvarieties of the smooth projective variety X . We assume that the following properties are satisfied:*

- (a) X is algebraically simply connected (e.g. X is rationally connected);
- (b) \mathcal{Y} is strongly movable;
- (c) X is \mathcal{Y} -chain connected in codimension one.

Then any member Y of \mathcal{Y} is G3 in X .

Proof. We apply 7.7 and 7.8: $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is $(\dim Y - 1)$ -ample, hence Y is G2 in X . Moreover, Y intersects every divisor, so $Y \subset X$ is G3. \square

8. A connectedness problem

Notation 8.1 Let $f : V \rightarrow X$ be a morphism between irreducible projective varieties and $Y \subset X$ be a lci subvariety, with $\text{codim}_X Y < \dim f(V)$. We denote

$$q := \dim f(V) + \dim Y - \dim X - 1 = \dim f(V) - \text{codim}_X Y - 1,$$

and assume that $q \geq 0$.

The goal of this section is to apply the ideas developed so far to the following:

Conjecture *If the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is ample, then $f^{-1}(Y)$ is connected.*

The conjecture is due to Fulton-Hansen (cf. [20, pp. 161]). As stated, it is not true; see Remark 8.3(ii) below. Hartshorne raised a similar problem for f a closed embedding, concerning the non-emptiness of $Y \cap V$ (cf. [29, Ch. III, Conjecture 4.5]).

The conjecture holds for products of projective spaces, flag varieties, low codimensional subvarieties of projective spaces, by work of Fulton-Hansen, Debarre, Bădescu [20, 27, 16, 6, 3]. Faltings proved the statement for homogeneous varieties (cf. [18, Korollar, pp. 148]). The common feature of these approaches is to focus on the properties of the diagonal $\Delta_X \subset X \times X$; the methods are strongly adapted to homogeneous varieties. The problem was also studied in [41], where the emphasis is rather on the mobility of Y in X .

The approach inhere is based on two ingredients: Theorem 7.1 and the following result due to Hironaka-Matsumura:

Theorem (cf. [31, Theorem 2.7]) *Suppose $V \xrightarrow{f} X$ is surjective and $Y \subset X$ is G3. Then $f^{-1}(Y) \subset V$ is G3, hence connected.*

The G3-assumption on Y can not be weakened. For this reason, our strategy is to impose positivity conditions on Y and analyse how they are inherited by $f^{-1}(Y)$.

Theorem 8.2 *Let the notation be as in 8.1 and assume that $Y \subset X$ is q -ample.*

- (i) *Suppose f is an embedding. Then the following statements hold:*
 - (a) *If V is Cohen-Macaulay, then $Y \cap V$ is non-empty and connected.*
 - (b) *If V is smooth and $Y \cap V \subset V$ is lci, then $Y \cap V$ is G3 in V .*
- (ii) *Let $f : V \rightarrow X$ be a morphism.*
 - (a) *If the Stein factorization of f is Cohen-Macaulay, then $f^{-1}(Y)$ is non-empty and connected.*
 - (b) *The pre-image $f^{-1}(Y)$ is G3 in V if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*
 - *V is smooth, f is flat, and $Y \cap f(V)$ is lci in $f(V)$;*
 - ◊ *$f(V)$ is smooth and $Y \cap f(V)$ is lci in $f(V)$.*

Proof. (i)(a) We claim that $Y \cap V$ is non-empty and $(\dim(Y \cap V) - 1)$ -ample in V : indeed, $\text{cd}(V \setminus Y \cap V) \leq \text{cd}(X \setminus Y) \leq \text{codim}_X Y + q - 1 = \dim V - 2$ (cf. Proposition 5.4).

Thus Y intersects V , actually $\dim(Y \cap V) \geq 1$; otherwise $\text{cd}(V \setminus Y \cap V) = \dim V - 1$, a contradiction. The universality property of the blow-up yields the Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bl}_{Y \cap V}(V) & \hookrightarrow & \text{Bl}_Y(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \hookrightarrow & X. \end{array}$$

Since the exceptional divisor E_Y is $(\dim V - 2)$ -ample, the same holds for $E_{Y \cap V}$. Proposition 5.5 implies that $Y \cap V$ is connected.

(b) It's a direct application of Theorem 7.1.

(ii) Let $Z := f(V)$ be the reduced image and $\bar{V} := \text{Spec}(f_* \mathcal{O}_V)$ the Stein factorization of f .

(a) As before, it holds $\text{cd}(Z \setminus Y \cap Z) \leq \text{cd}(X \setminus Y) \leq \dim Z - 2$. Since $\bar{V} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} Z$ is finite and \bar{V} is Cohen-Macaulay, it follows $\text{cd}(\bar{V} \setminus \bar{f}^{-1}(Y)) \leq \dim \bar{V} - 2$, thus $\bar{f}^{-1}(Y)$ is connected. But $V \rightarrow \bar{V}$ has connected fibres, so $f^{-1}(Y)$ is connected.

(b◦) In this case, $f^{-1}(Y) \subset V$ is lci and f is equidimensional. The induced morphism $\tilde{f} : \mathrm{Bl}_{f^{-1}(Y)}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Bl}_{Y \cap Z}(Z)$ is still equidimensional; since $E_{Y \cap Z}$ is $(\dim Z - 2)$ -ample, $E_{f^{-1}(Y)}$ is $(\dim V - 2)$ -ample (cf. proof of 5.11). The claim follows from Theorem 7.1.

(b◊) By (i)(b), $Y \cap Z \subset Z$ is G3, so $f^{-1}(Y) \subset V$ is G3. \square

Remark 8.3 (i) If Y is an ample subvariety of X , the condition $q \geq 0$ in 8.1 becomes the usual one: $\dim f(V) > \mathrm{codim}_X Y$. Note that, in this case, $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is ample (cf. 5.12).

(ii) We pointed out that, as stated, the conjecture is false: Hartshorne gives the example of an étale morphism $V \rightarrow X$ and a positive dimensional, smooth subvariety $Y \subset X$ with ample normal bundle and disconnected pre-image (cf. [29, pp. 199]). The condition (iib◦) is satisfied and, moreover, Y is G2 but not G3 in X . What (necessarily) fails is the partial ampleness of Y .

Hence the positivity conditions on Y are necessary. However, one can weaken the transversality and regularity assumptions by using deformations.

Lemma 8.4 (cf. [20, Sect. 2]) *Let S be a normal, irreducible, quasi-projective variety and:*

- $\mathcal{Y} = \{Y_s\}_{s \in S} \stackrel{(\pi, \iota)}{\subset} S \times X$ a flat family of c -codimensional subvarieties;
- $\mathcal{V} = \{V_s\}_{s \in S}$ a S -flat family of irreducible, projective varieties, $\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{f=(f_s)_{s \in S}} S \times X$ a morphism.

Let $\mathcal{W} = (W_s)_{s \in S} := \mathcal{Y} \times_{S \times X} \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{V}$; assume that W_s is connected, c -codimensional over an open subset \mathcal{U} of S . For $o \in S$, suppose that it holds:

$$\forall v_o \in f^{-1}(Y_o), \exists \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[[\epsilon]]) \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{Y} \times_{S \times X} \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{V}, h(0) = (f(v_o), v_o), h(\epsilon) \in \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}).$$

Then $f_o^{-1}(Y_o) \subset V_o$ is connected. If f_o has connected fibres, it suffices:

$$\forall y_o \in Y_o \cap f(V_o), \exists \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[[\epsilon]]) \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{Y} \times_{S \times X} f(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{V}, h(0) = y_o, h(\epsilon) \in \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}).$$

The proof is identical to *loc. cit.* The method is suited for triples (Y_o, V_o, f_o) , which can be smoothed and moved into general relative position.

9. Examples of q -ample subvarieties

Partially ample subvarieties are ubiquitous. Here, we are going to discuss several classes of examples:

- (i) subvarieties of almost homogeneous varieties;
- (ii) zero loci of sections in globally generated vector bundles;
- (iii) sources of Bialynicki-Birula decompositions, corresponding to actions of the multiplicative group.

9.1 Subvarieties of (almost) homogeneous varieties

Suppose G is a connected algebraic group with identity element e and consider the morphism:

$$\gamma : G \times G \rightarrow G, \quad \gamma(g', g) := g'g^{-1}.$$

Let X be a smooth G -variety with an open orbit O . So, if G is linear, then X is automatically rational. We denote by $\mu : G \times X \rightarrow X$ the action and, for $x \in X$, let $\mu_x(\cdot) := \mu(\cdot, x)$.

Definition 9.1 Suppose $Y \subset X$ is an irreducible subvariety which intersects O . We consider the following objects:

- For $y_o \in Y \cap O$, let $G_{Y,y_o} := \mu_{y_o}^{-1}(Y)$; it is a closed subvariety of G . Denote G_Y the subgroup generated by G_{Y,y_o} ; it is closed in G , independent of $y_o \in Y \cap O$.
- Define $S_Y := \gamma(G_{Y,y_o}, G_{Y,y_o})$; it is constructible in G , contains G_{Y,y_o} , and independent of $y_o \in Y \cap O$. In fact, S_Y consists of those elements of G which send some point of $Y \cap O$ to another point of $Y \cap O$.

We say that Y *generates* X if $G_Y = G$. We say that Y *strongly generates* X if $\mu(S_Y, Y \cap O)$ is open in O .

Note that, for homogeneous $X = G/P$, the subset S_Y is closed in G . Indeed, if $y_o = e$, then $P \subset G_{Y,y_o}$ and μ factorizes through $(G \times G)/P$, where P acts diagonally. But the morphism $(G \times G)/P \rightarrow G$ is projective, so the image of $(G_{Y,y_o} \times G_{Y,y_o})/P$ is closed in G .

Lemma 9.2 *If Y strongly generates X , then it generates X .*

Proof. Indeed, the stabilizer $H \subset G$ of y_o is contained in G_{Y,y_o} and

$$\mu(S_Y, Y \cap O) = \mu((G_{Y,y_o} \cdot G_{Y,y_o}^{-1} \cdot G_{Y,y_o}), y_o) \cong (G_{Y,y_o} \cdot G_{Y,y_o}^{-1} \cdot G_{Y,y_o})/H.$$

If $\mu(S_Y, Y \cap O)$ is open in $O \cong G/H$, then $G_{Y,y_o} \cdot G_{Y,y_o}^{-1} \cdot G_{Y,y_o}$ is H -invariant and open in G , so $G_Y = G$. \square

We remark that, by taking G -translates of Y , we retrieve the situation studied in 7.1.2:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} := G \times Y & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \\ \pi \downarrow & & \\ & & G \end{array} \tag{9.1}$$

Lemma 9.3 *Suppose Y strongly generates the almost homogeneous variety X . Then the following properties hold:*

- the family (9.1) is strongly movable;*
- If $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus O) \geq 2$, then X is \mathcal{Y} -chain connected in codimension one.*

Proof. (i) Note that S_Y coincides with Σ_e defined in 7.5 (for $o = e \in G$). Moreover, for $g \in G$, $S_{gY} = gS_Yg^{-1}$ and $\mu(S_{gY}, gY \cap O) = g\mu(S_Y, Y \cap O)$. The right hand-side is open in X , hence the morphism ρ_Σ in (7.2) is dominant.

(ii) Lemma 9.2 implies that G is generated by G_{Y,y_o} . Then, for any $g \in G$, there is a sequence $e = g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n \in G_{Y,y_o}$ whose product equals g . It follows that

$$Y_0 := Y, Y_1 := g_1Y, \dots, Y_n := g_1 \dots g_nY$$

is a \mathcal{Y} -chain connecting y_o to gy_o . \square

Theorem 9.4 *Let (X, O) be an almost homogeneous variety for the action of a linear algebraic group G . Suppose $Y \subset X$ is a smooth subvariety with the following properties:*

- (a) *It strongly generates X .*
- (b) *The intersection with every divisor is numerically non-trivial.*
(If $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus O) \geq 2$, it suffices $Y \cap O \neq \emptyset$.)

Then Y is $1^{>0}$, in particular it is $G\mathfrak{B}$ in X .

Proof. Since G is linear, X is a unirational variety, hence rationally connected. The previous lemma implies that Theorem 7.9 applies to the family $\mathcal{Y} = G \times Y$. \square

Corollary 9.5 *Suppose (X, O) is almost homogeneous for the action of the linear algebraic group G . Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (a) $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus O) \geq 2$;
- (b) *The stabilizer of some (any) point $x_0 \in O$ contains a Cartan subgroup of G .*

Then the diagonal is $1^{>0}$, thus $G\mathfrak{B}$, in the product $X \times X$.

The analogous statement for rational homogeneous varieties is proved in [5, Theorem 2].

Proof. We apply the previous theorem to the diagonal $\Delta_X \subset X \times X$. The group $G \times G$ acts on $X \times X$ with open orbit $O \times O$, its complement has codimension at least two, and $\Delta_X \cap (O \times O) \cong O$. We verify that Δ_X is strongly generating that is, $S_{\Delta_X} \cdot O$ is open in $O \times O$. Let H be the stabilizer of the point $x_o \in O$. A direct computation yields:

$$S_{\Delta_X} = \{(g, g) \cdot (e, \text{Ad}_a(h)) \mid a, g \in G, h \in H\}, \quad (e \in G \text{ is the identity}).$$

Since H contains a Cartan subgroup of G , $\bigcup_{a \in G} \text{Ad}_a(H)$ contains an open subset of G , so S_{Δ_X} contains an open subset of $G \times G$. \square

The case of homogeneous varieties So far we proved the $1^{>0}$ property for strongly generating subvarieties. Results due to Faltings, Barth-Larsen, Ogus yield examples with stronger positivity properties.

Theorem *Let $X = G/P$ be a rational homogeneous variety, with G semi-simple; denote by ℓ the minimal rank of the simple factors of G . Let $Y \subset X$ be a smooth subvariety of codimension δ . The following statements hold:*

- (i) (cf. [18, Satz 5, Satz 7]) *Y is $(\ell - 2\delta + 1)^{>0}$.*
- (ii) *$Y \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ is $p^{>0} \Leftrightarrow \text{res}_Y^t : H^t(\mathbf{P}^n; \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow H^t(Y; \mathbf{Q})$ is an isomorphism, $\forall t < p$.*

Proof. (i) Indeed, we have $\text{cd}(X \setminus Y) \leq \dim X - \ell + 2\delta - 2$ and \mathcal{T}_X is $(\dim X - \ell)$ -ample. Since $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is a quotient of \mathcal{T}_X , we conclude by Proposition 5.12.

(ii) In this case, $\mathcal{N}_{Y/\mathbf{P}^n}$ is ample. By [39, Theorem 4.4, 2.13], $\text{cd}(\mathbf{P}^n \setminus Y) < n - p$ if and only if res_Y^t is an isomorphism and the local cohomological dimension of $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ is at most $n - p$. The latter equals $\text{codim}_{\mathbf{P}^n} Y = n - \dim Y$, since Y is smooth. \square

9.2 Zero loci of sections in globally generated vector bundles

Throughout this section, \mathcal{N} is a vector bundle of rank ν on the smooth projective variety X .

9.2.1 *q-ample vector bundles* A simple method to produce q -ample, lci subvarieties is by taking zero loci of q -ample vector bundles.

Proposition 9.6 *Suppose \mathcal{N} is q -ample and Y is the zero locus of a regular section in it. Then $Y \subset X$ is a q -ample subvariety; if $q < \dim X - \nu$, then Y is G3 in X .*

Proof. We verify the condition (5.3) for the zero locus Y of $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{N})$ and the (arbitrary) vector bundle \mathcal{F} on X . Since s is regular, Y is lci in X , $\text{codim}_X(Y) = \nu$, so $\text{Bl}_Y(X)$ is Gorenstein, and we have the resolution (cf. [11, Theorem 3.1])

$$0 \rightarrow L_m^\nu(\mathcal{N}^\vee) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow L_m^j(\mathcal{N}^\vee) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{N}^\vee) \xrightarrow{s^m \lrcorner} \mathcal{I}_Y^m \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall m \geq 1. \quad (9.2)$$

The vector bundles $L_m^j(\mathcal{N}^\vee)$, $1 \leq j \leq \nu$, are defined as follows:

$$L_m^j(\mathcal{N}^\vee) := \text{Im} \left(\text{Sym}^{m-1}(\mathcal{N}^\vee) \otimes \bigwedge^j \mathcal{N}^\vee \xrightarrow{\phi_m^j} \text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{N}^\vee) \otimes \bigwedge^{j-1} \mathcal{N}^\vee \right).$$

The general linear group is linearly reductive and ϕ_m^j is equivariant, so $L_m^j(\mathcal{N}^\vee)$ is a direct summand in $\text{Sym}^m(\mathcal{N}^\vee) \otimes \bigwedge^{j-1} \mathcal{N}^\vee$. Since \mathcal{N} is q -ample, one has

$$H^{t+j-1}(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \bigwedge^{j-1} \mathcal{N}^\vee \otimes \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{N}^\vee) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, \nu,$$

for $t + \nu - 1 \leq \dim X - q - 1$ and $m \gg 0$. We deduce:

$$H^t(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}_Y^m) = 0, \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq \dim Y - q, \quad m \gg 0,$$

hence (5.3) is satisfied. \square

We shall see that the criterion is not optimal (cf. 9.9).

9.2.2 *Globally generated vector bundles* In the remaining part of the section, we assume that \mathcal{N} is *globally generated*. Suppose $Y \subset X$ is lci of codimension δ , and the zero locus of a section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N}) := H^0(X, \mathcal{N})$. We *do not require* s to be regular, so we allow $\delta < \nu$.

In this context, the situation 5.9 arises as follows: the blow-up fits into

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathbf{P} \left(\bigwedge^{\nu-1} \mathcal{N}^\vee \otimes \det(\mathcal{N}) \right) \hookrightarrow X \times \mathbf{P} \left(\bigwedge^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\mathcal{N})^\vee \right) & & (9.3) \\ \pi \downarrow & \searrow \phi & \downarrow \\ X & & \mathbf{P} := \mathbf{P} \left(\bigwedge^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\mathcal{N})^\vee \right), \end{array}$$

and it holds

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_Y) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})}(-1)|_{\tilde{X}} = (\det(\mathcal{N}) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-1))|_{\tilde{X}}. \quad (9.4)$$

Proposition 9.7 *Suppose $\det(\mathcal{N})$ is ample. If the dimension of the generic fibre of ϕ (over its image) is $p + 1$, then $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_Y)$ is $\dim \phi(\tilde{X})$ -positive, and Y is $p > 0$.*

Proof. The assumptions of 5.9 are satisfied. \square

9.2.3 Special subvarieties of the Grassmannian Let $W \subseteq \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ be a vector subspace which generates \mathcal{N} , $\dim W = \nu + u + 1$. It is equivalent to a morphism $f : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(W; \nu)$ to the Grassmannian of ν -dimensional quotients of W ; then $\det(\mathcal{N})$ is ample if and only if φ is finite onto its image. Henceforth we restrict our attention to $X = \mathrm{Gr}(W; \nu)$; it is naturally isomorphic to the variety $\mathrm{Gr}(u + 1; W)$ of $(u + 1)$ -dimensional subspaces of W .

Let \mathcal{N} be the universal quotient bundle. The morphism ϕ in (9.3) is explicit:

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}, \quad (x, \langle e_x \rangle) \mapsto \det(\mathcal{N}_x / \langle e_x \rangle)^\vee \subset \bigwedge^{\nu-1} \mathcal{N}_x^\vee \subset \bigwedge^{\nu-1} W^\vee. \quad (9.5)$$

($\langle e_x \rangle$ stands for the line generated by $e_x \in \mathcal{N}_x$, $x \in \mathrm{Gr}(W; \nu)$.) The restriction to the Grassmannian corresponds to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(W; \nu)} & \xrightarrow{s} & W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(W; \nu)} & \longrightarrow & W / \langle s \rangle \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(W; \nu)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(W; \nu)} & \xrightarrow{\beta s} & \mathcal{N} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N} / \langle \beta s \rangle \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (9.6)$$

Thus ϕ is the desingularization of the rational map

$$g_s : \mathrm{Gr}(W; \nu) \dashrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(W / \langle s \rangle; \nu - 1), \quad [W \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{N}] \mapsto [W / \langle s \rangle \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{N} / \langle \beta s \rangle], \quad (9.7)$$

followed by the Plücker embedding of $\mathrm{Gr}(W / \langle s \rangle; \nu - 1)$. The indeterminacy locus of ϕ is $\mathrm{Gr}(W / \langle s \rangle; \nu)$, so the latter is $u^{>0}$ in $\mathrm{Gr}(W; \nu)$. The discussion generalizes.

Corollary 9.8 *For $\ell \leq \nu$, fix an ℓ -dimensional subspace $\Lambda_\ell \subset W$ and define the special Schubert subvariety: $Y_\ell := \{U \in \mathrm{Gr}(u + 1; W) \mid U \cap \Lambda_\ell \neq 0\}$. Then it holds:*

$$Y_\ell \subset X \text{ is } (\ell(u + 1) - 1)^{>0}.$$

We remark that the cycles Y_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, \nu$, generate the Chow (cohomology) ring of X .

Proof. Note that Y_ℓ is $(\nu - \ell + 1)$ -codimensional and it is the vanishing locus of the section:

$$s_\ell : \mathcal{O} \cong \det(\Lambda_\ell \otimes \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \bigwedge^\ell W \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \bigwedge^\ell \mathcal{N}.$$

We are in the situation described in 5.9. The diagram (9.3) corresponds to the rational map

$$\phi : \mathrm{Gr}(u + 1; W) \dashrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(u + 1; W / \Lambda_\ell), \quad U \mapsto (U + \Lambda_\ell) / \Lambda_\ell,$$

followed by a large Plücker embedding; its indeterminacy locus is precisely Y_ℓ . Since ϕ is surjective, a dimension counting yields the conclusion. \square

Remark 9.9 (i) Propositions 9.7 and 9.6 (see also 1.7) deal with complementary situations: $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(E_Y)$ is relatively ample for some morphism, while $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}^\vee)}(1)$ is the pull-back of an ample line bundle.

(ii) The criterion 9.6 is not optimal: for $X = \mathrm{Gr}(\nu + u + 1; \nu)$, the universal quotient \mathcal{N} is q -ample, $q = \dim \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}^\vee) - \mathbf{P}^{\nu+u} = \dim X - (u + 1)$, by Proposition 1.7. Hence $Y = \mathrm{Gr}(\nu + u; \nu)$ —the zero locus of a section of \mathcal{N} —is $(u + 1 - \nu)^{>0}$; this can be negative. On the other hand, Proposition 5.9 implies that Y is $u^{>0}$.

Moreover, the section s_ℓ above is not a regular. Thus 9.6 does not apply to estimate the amplitude of Y_ℓ ; but 5.9 does.

(iii) Zero loci of sections in globally generated vector bundles appear in recent work of Fulger-Lehmann (cf. [19]). They defined the pliant cone of a projective variety X , which is generated by pre-images of Schubert subvarieties of (various) Grassmannians Gr , by morphisms $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}$. The pliant cone is a full-dimensional sub-cone of the nef cone of X , whose generators are easier to understand. Pre-images of special Schubert varieties belong to the pliant cone. Our discussion shows they enjoy remarkable positivity properties, in particular are G3 in the ambient space.

9.3 Sources of G_m -actions

Let X be a smooth projective variety with a faithful action

$$\lambda : G_m \times X \rightarrow X$$

of the multiplicative group $G_m = \mathbb{k}^\times$. This determines the so-called Bialynicki-Birula—BB for short—decomposition of X (cf. [9]):

- The fixed locus X^λ of the action is a disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{s \in S_{\text{BB}}} Y_s$ of smooth subvarieties. For $s \in S_{\text{BB}}$, $Y_s^+ := \{x \in X \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t, x) \in Y_s\}$ is locally closed in X (a BB-cell) and it holds: $X = \bigsqcup_{s \in S_{\text{BB}}} Y_s^+$.
- The *source* $Y := Y_{\text{source}}$ and the *sink* Y_{sink} of the action are uniquely characterized by the conditions: $Y^+ = Y_{\text{source}}^+ \subset X$ is open and $Y_{\text{sink}}^+ = Y_{\text{sink}}$.

A linearization of the action in a sufficiently ample line bundle yields a G_m -equivariant embedding $X \subset \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^N$. There are homogeneous coordinates $\mathbf{z}_0 \in \mathbb{k}^{N_0+1}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_r \in \mathbb{k}^{N_r+1}$ such that the G_m -action on $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^N$ is:

$$\lambda(t, [\mathbf{z}_0, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_r]) = [\mathbf{z}_0, t^{m_1} \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, t^{m_r} \mathbf{z}_r], \quad \text{with } 0 < m_1 < \dots < m_r. \quad (9.8)$$

The source and sink of \mathbf{P}^N, X are respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{\text{source}}^N &= \{[\mathbf{z}_0, 0, \dots, 0]\}, & \mathbf{P}_{\text{sink}}^N &= \{[0, \dots, 0, \mathbf{z}_r]\}, \\ Y = Y_{\text{source}} &= X \cap \mathbf{P}_{\text{source}}^N, & Y_{\text{sink}} &= X \cap \mathbf{P}_{\text{sink}}^N, \\ Y^+ &= X \cap (\mathbf{P}_{\text{source}}^N)^+, & (\mathbf{P}_{\text{source}}^N)^+ &= \{[\mathbf{z}] = [\mathbf{z}_0, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_r] \mid \mathbf{z}_0 \neq 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (9.9)$$

Let m be the lowest common multiple of $\{m_\rho\}_{\rho=1, \dots, r}$ and $l_\rho := m/m_\rho$. Denote $\mathbf{z}_\rho^{l_\rho} := (z_{\rho 0}^{l_\rho}, \dots, z_{\rho N_\rho}^{l_\rho})$ and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}$ the sheaf of ideals generated by $\mathbf{z}_1^{l_1}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_r^{l_r}$. The rational map

$$\phi : \mathbf{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{N'}, \quad [\mathbf{z}_0, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_r] \mapsto [\mathbf{z}_1^{l_1}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_r^{l_r}], \quad (9.10)$$

is G_m -invariant and its indeterminacy locus is the subscheme determined by \mathcal{J} . Then $\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ defines the subscheme $Y_{\mathcal{J}} \subset X$ whose reduction is (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) . We have the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xrightarrow{\phi_X} & & \\ & & \searrow & & \\ \tilde{X} := \text{Bl}_{Y_{\mathcal{J}}}(X) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} & \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{P}^N) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbf{P}^{N'} \\ & \downarrow b & \downarrow B & & \parallel \\ X & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbf{P}^N & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbf{P}^{N'} \end{array} \quad (9.11)$$

Lemma 9.10 *The diagram (9.11) has the following properties:*

- The exceptional divisor of B is ϕ -relatively ample, hence the exceptional divisor of b is ϕ_X -relatively ample.

(ii) The morphism $\phi : \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{P}^N) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{N'}$ is G_m -invariant and

$$\dim \phi_X(\tilde{X}) = \dim \phi_X(X \setminus Y^+) \leq \dim(X \setminus Y^+). \quad (9.12)$$

Proof. (i) The subscheme determined by \mathcal{J} is the vanishing locus of a section in a direct sum of ample line bundles over \mathbf{P}^N , so we recover the situation in Proposition 9.7.

(ii) The G_m -invariance of ϕ , thus of ϕ_X , follows from 9.8. It holds:

$$\dim \phi_X(\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{J}}(X)) = \dim \overline{\phi_X(X \setminus Y)} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_X(X \setminus Y) = \phi_X(X \setminus Y^+) \cup \phi_X(Y^+ \setminus Y).$$

For $[\mathbf{z}_0, \mathbf{z}'] \in Y^+ \setminus Y$ and $t \in G_m$, the G_m -invariance of ϕ_X yields:

$$\phi_X([\mathbf{z}_0, \mathbf{z}']) = \phi_X(t \times [\mathbf{z}_0, \mathbf{z}']) = \phi_X\left(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \times [\mathbf{z}_0, \mathbf{z}']\right).$$

But $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \times [\mathbf{z}_0, \mathbf{z}'] = [0, \mathbf{z}''] \in X \setminus Y^+$, which implies $\phi_X(Y^+ \setminus Y) \subset \phi_X(X \setminus Y^+)$. \square

Now we can estimate the ampleness of the source Y .

Theorem 9.11 *Let X be a non-singular G_m -variety with source Y and*

$$p := \text{codim}(X \setminus Y^+) - 1.$$

Then the following statements hold:

- (i) *The thickening $Y_{\mathcal{J}}$ of Y in (9.11) is a $(\dim Y - p)$ -ample subscheme of X ; in particular, Y is a $p \gtrsim 0$ subvariety.*
- (ii) *If G_m acts on the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ by scalar multiplication, then $Y \subset X$ is $p > 0$.*

Proof. (i) We apply the Proposition 5.9: $Y_{\mathcal{J}}$ is a q -ample subscheme, with

$$q = 1 + \dim \phi(\tilde{X}) - \text{codim}_X(Y) \stackrel{(9.12)}{\leq} 1 + \dim(X \setminus Y^+) - \text{codim}_X(Y).$$

(ii) In this case we have $Y^+ \cong \underline{\mathbf{N}} := \text{Spec}(\text{Sym}^{\bullet} \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}^{\vee})$, cf. [9, Remark pp. 491]. Thus $\underline{\mathbf{N}} \subset X$ is open and G_m acts, fibrewise over Y , by scalar multiplication.

The inclusions $\underline{\mathbf{N}} \subset \underline{\mathbf{N}}_{\mathbf{P}^N_{\text{source}}/\mathbf{P}^N} = \{[\mathbf{z}_{N_0}, \mathbf{z}'] \mid \mathbf{z}_{N_0} \neq 0\} \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ are G_m -equivariant. But the diagonal multiplication on the coordinates \mathbf{z}' exists globally on \mathbf{P}^N , consequently $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ is invariant for the G_m -action by scalar multiplication on $\mathbf{z}' = (z_{N_1}, \dots, z_{N_r})$. Hence the exponents l_{ρ} in (9.10) are all equal to one, so $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_Y \subset \mathcal{O}_X$. \square

Remark 9.12 Since $X \setminus Y^+$ is closed in $X \setminus Y$, we deduce that $\text{cd}(X \setminus Y) = \dim(X \setminus Y^+)$, cf. Lemma 6.2. This simple answer contrasts the elaborate techniques needed to estimate the cohomological dimension of the complement of a subvariety (cf. [39, 18, 37]).

Example 9.13 (i) Let $W \cong \mathbb{k}^{w+1}$, $w+1$ even, be a vector space endowed with a non-degenerate, symmetric bilinear form β . Consider $X := \text{o-Gr}(u+1; W)$, the orthogonal Grassmannian of $(u+1)$ -dimensional isotropic subspaces of W ; in particular, $w+1 \geq 2(u+1)$. We choose coordinates on W such that

$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}_{(w+1)/2} \\ \mathbb{1}_{(w+1)/2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\mathbb{1} \text{ stands for the identity matrix}),$$

and decompose $W = \mathbb{k}^{\langle w+1 \rangle/2} \oplus \mathbb{k}^{\langle w+1 \rangle/2}$ into the sum of two Lagrangian subspaces. We consider $\lambda : G_m \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{(w+1)/2}$, $\lambda(t) = \mathrm{diag} [t^{-1}, \mathbb{1}_{(w-1)/2}, t, \mathbb{1}_{(w-1)/2}]$.

The source is $Y = \{U \mid s := (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in U\} \cong \mathrm{o}\text{-Gr}(u; w-1)$ and, for $U \in Y$, holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{X,U} &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(U, U^\perp/U) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{anti-symm}}(U, U^\vee), & (\text{Note that } h(s) \in \langle s \rangle^\perp.) \\ \mathcal{T}_{Y,U} &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(U/\langle s \rangle, U^\perp/U) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}^{\mathrm{anti-symm}}(U/\langle s \rangle, (U/\langle s \rangle)^\vee), \\ \mathcal{N}_{Y/X,U} &= \mathrm{Hom}(\langle s \rangle, \langle s \rangle^\perp/U). \end{aligned}$$

Hence λ acts with weight t on $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$. The complement of the open BB-cell is

$$X \setminus Y^+ = \{U \in X \mid s \notin \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lambda(t)U\} = \{U \mid U \subset W' := \mathbb{k}^{\langle w-1 \rangle/2} \oplus \mathbb{k}^{\langle w+1 \rangle/2}\}.$$

Note that $\beta_{\uparrow W'}$ has a 1-dimensional kernel $\langle s' \rangle$: if $w = 2u + 1$, then $s' \in U$ for all $U \in X \setminus Y^+$; for greater w , this is not the case. We deduce:

$$\mathrm{codim}(X \setminus Y^+) = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } w = 2u + 1; \\ u + 1 & \text{if } w \geq 2u + 3, \end{cases} \Rightarrow Y \subset X \text{ is: } \begin{cases} (u-1)^{>0} & \text{if } w = 2u + 1; \\ u^{>0} & \text{if } w \geq 2u + 3. \end{cases}$$

(ii) With the previous notation, let ω be a skew-symmetric bilinear form on W and $X := \mathrm{sp}\text{-Gr}(u+1; W)$ be the symplectic Grassmannian of $(u+1)$ -dimensional isotropic subspaces of W . Take a Lagrangian decomposition $W = \mathbb{k}^{\langle w+1 \rangle/2} \oplus \mathbb{k}^{\langle w+1 \rangle/2}$, such that

$$\omega = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}_{\langle w+1 \rangle/2} \\ -\mathbb{1}_{\langle w+1 \rangle/2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The action induced by $\lambda : G_m \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{(w+1)/2}$, $\lambda(t) = \mathrm{diag} [t^{-1}, \mathbb{1}_{(w-1)/2}, t, \mathbb{1}_{(w-1)/2}]$ has the source $Y = \{U \mid s := (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in U\} \cong \mathrm{sp}\text{-Gr}(u; w-1)$.

A similar computation yields $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X,U} \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\langle s \rangle, W/U)$. In this case, G_m doesn't act on $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ by scalar multiplication: it has weight t^2 on $\mathrm{Hom}(\langle s \rangle, W/\langle s \rangle^\perp)$ and weight t on its complement (\perp stands for the ω -orthogonal). The complement of the open BB-cell is $(1+u)$ -codimensional in X , as before. We conclude that, in this case, Y is only $u \gtrsim 0$; more precisely, there is a non-reduced scheme with support Y which is $u^{>0}$.

REFERENCES

- 1 Andreotti A., Grauert H., *Théorème de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 193–259.
- 2 Arapura D., *Partial regularity and amplitude*. Amer. J. Math. **128** (2006), 1025–1056.
- 3 Bădescu L., *Algebraic Barth-Lefschetz theorems*. Nagoya Math. J. **142** (1996), 17–38.
- 4 Bădescu L., *Projective geometry and formal geometry*. Math. Inst. Polish Acad. Sciences. Monographs **65**, Birkhäuser Verlag Basel, 2004.
- 5 Bădescu L., Schneider M., *A criterion for extending meromorphic functions*. Math. Ann. **305** (1996), 393–402.
- 6 Bădescu L., *On a connectedness theorem of Debarre*. In: Interactions of classical and numerical algebraic geometry, pp. 11–20, Contemp. Math. **496**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2009.
- 7 Bott R., *On a theorem of Lefschetz*. Michigan Math. J. **6** (1959), 211–216.
- 8 Boucksom S., Demailly J.-P., Păun M., Peternell T., *The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension*. J. Algebraic Geom. **22** (2013), 201–248.
- 9 Białynicki-Birula A., *Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups*. Ann. Math. **98** (1973), 480–497.

- 10 Boucksom S., *Divisorial Zariski decompositions on compact complex manifolds*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **37** (2004), 45–76.
- 11 Buchsbaum D., Eisenbud D., *Generic free resolutions and a family of generically perfect ideals*. Adv. Math. **18** (1975), 245–301.
- 12 Chen H., *Algebraicity of formal varieties and positivity of vector bundles*. Math. Ann. **354** (2012), 171–192.
- 13 Chow W.L., *On meromorphic maps of algebraic varieties*. Ann. Math. **89** (1969), 391–403.
- 14 Commichau M., Grauert H., *Das formale Prinzip für kompakte komplexe Untermannigfaltigkeiten mit 1-positivem Normalenbündel*. In: Recent developments in several complex variables. Fornaess J. (ed.), pp. 101–126, Ann. Math. Stud. **100**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1981.
- 15 Debarre O., *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*. Universitext, Springer-Verlag New York, 2001.
- 16 Debarre O., *Théorèmes de connexité pour les produits d’espaces projectifs et les grassmanniennes*. Amer. J. Math. **118** (1996), 1347–1367.
- 17 Demailly J.P., Peternell T., Schneider M., *Holomorphic line bundles with partially vanishing cohomology*. In: Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 Conference on Algebraic Geometry, pp. 165–198, Bar-Ilan Univ. Ramat Gan, 1996.
- 18 Faltings G., *Formale Geometrie und homogene Räume*. Invent. Math. **64** (1981), 123–165.
- 19 Fulger M., Lehmann B., *Positive cones of dual cycle classes*. preprint <http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.5154>.
- 20 Fulton W., Hansen J., *A connectedness theorem for projective varieties, with applications to intersections and singularities of mappings*. Ann. Math **110** (1979), 159–166.
- 21 Gieseker D., *On two theorems of Griffiths about embeddings with ample normal bundle*. Amer. J. Math. **99** (1977), 1137–1150.
- 22 Goldstein N., *Ampleness and connectedness in complex G/P* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **274** (1982), 361–373.
- 23 Griffiths Ph., *The extension problem in complex analysis II. Embeddings with positive normal bundle*. Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966), 366–446.
- 24 Grothendieck A., *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux*. SGA2, Soc. Math. France, 2005.
- 25 Halic M., *Vector bundles on projective varieties which split along q -ample subvarieties*. preprint <http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.1207>.
- 26 Halic M., Tajarod R., *About the cohomological dimension of certain stratified varieties*. Proc. Am. Math. Soc <http://www.ams.org/journals/proc/earlyview/proc13691/proc13691.pdf>.
- 27 Hansen J., *A connectedness theorem for flag manifolds and Grassmannians*. Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), 633–639.
- 28 Hartshorne R., *Cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties*. Ann. Math. **88** (1968), 403–450.
- 29 Hartshorne R., *Ample Subvarieties of Algebraic Varieties*. Lect. Notes Math. **156**, Springer-Verlag Berlin, 1970.
- 30 Hartshorne R. *Algebraic Geometry*. (8ed.) Springer-Verlag New York, 1997.
- 31 Hironaka H., Matsumura H., *Formal functions and formal embeddings*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **20** (1968), 52–82.
- 32 Hirschowitz A., *On the convergence of formal equivalence between embeddings*. Ann. Math. **113** (1981), 501–514.
- 33 Hyry E., Järvilehto T., *Coefficient ideals and the Gorenstein property of blowups*. Comm. Algebra **33** (2005), 4617–4629.
- 34 Hu Yi, Keel S., *Mori dream spaces and GIT*. Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 331–348.
- 35 Kawasaki T., *On Macaulayfication of Noetherian schemes*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 2517–2552.

- 36 Lazarsfeld R., *Positivity in algebraic geometry I. Line bundles and linear series*. Springer-Verlag Berlin, 2004.
- 37 Lyubeznik G., *A generalization of Lichtenbaum's theorem on the cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties*. Math. Z. **208** (1991), 463–466.
- 38 Matsumura S., *Asymptotic cohomology vanishing and a converse to the Andreotti-Grauert theorem on surfaces*. Ann. Inst. Fourier **63** (2013), 2199–2221.
- 39 Ogus A., *Local cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties*. Ann. Math. **98** (1973), 327–365.
- 40 Ottem J., *Ample subvarieties and q -ample divisors*. Adv. Math. **229** (2012), 2868–2887.
- 41 Ran Z., *Monodromy and connectedness*. Bull. London Math. Soc. **32** (2000), 267–271.
- 42 Sommese A., *Submanifolds of abelian varieties*. Math. Ann. **233** (1978), 229–256.
- 43 Speiser R., *Extending meromorphic functions on $\mathbf{P}^m \times \mathbf{P}^n$* . J. Reine Angew. Math. **305** (1979), 126–133.
- 44 Totaro B., *Line bundles with partially vanishing cohomology*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. **15** (2013), 731–754.
- 45 Voisin C., *Coniveau 2 complete intersections and effective cones*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **19** (2010), 1494–1513.

Mihai Halic mihai.halic@gmail.com
CRM, CNRS UMI 3457, Montréal H3C 3J7, Canada